

ANSWER SCHEME

(a) Study Source A.

Is the cartoonist a supporter of New Zealanders?

[5]

Level	Description/Examples	Mark
L1	Answers based on description of source. The cartoonist is a supporter of as it shows New Zealanders working together.	1
L2	Not support, based on negative portrayal Award 2m for identifying portrayal, 3m for explaining negative portrayal The cartoonist is not a supporter of New Zealanders as he <u>portrays the New Zealanders negatively as people who harbour hate against others</u> . This is illustrated in the source where the people are crowding around the word “HATE” and are unravelling it. This shows that even though they are removing it, the presence of the word shows that hate exists among New Zealanders. There are people who hate others, and as such this shows that the author thinks negatively of the New Zealanders and hence is not a supporter.	2-3
L3	Supports, based on portrayal Award 4m for identifying positive portrayal, 5m for explaining positive portrayal. The cartoonist is a supporter of New Zealanders as <u>he portrays them in a positive manner as being inclusive</u> . This is illustrated in the source where the people are working together to remove the word “HATE” and “Let’s unravel it, let’s pull it apart. Let’s make something better. With aroha for our Muslim whanau”. This shows that the people in New Zealand are inclusive towards people from different backgrounds and are willing to work together to achieve harmony in the society. And they are showing their support for the Muslims who suffered the mosque attacks in March. As the cartoonist depicts the New Zealanders in a positive way and highlighting their good efforts, hence he is a supporter of New Zealanders.	4-5

(b) Study Sources B and C.

How are these sources similar? Explain your answer.

[7]

Level	Descriptors + Answers	Marks
1	Answers based on PROVENANCE / TOPIC <i>Award 1m: 1S</i> <i>Award 2m: 2S</i> Source B and C are similar as both are published on an online news website.	1-2
2	Answers based on CONTENT, unsupported. S or D: 3m S and D: 4m Both sources are similar in saying that discrimination is wrong. OR Both sources are similar in saying that Muslims are being treated unfairly in New Zealand.	3-4
3	Answers based on CONTENT, supported. Se or De: 5m Se and De: 6m Similarity: Both sources are similar in saying that <u>discrimination is wrong</u> . This can be seen in Source B which states “No one should feel fear or shame for what they wear or who they are. I don't want them to feel scared. This is their home and they are like us, just normal people trying to live their life.” This shows that one should not be discriminated just because of their belief or how they dress as it is one’s choice to do so and everyone has the right to this. Thus, to discriminate is wrong. Similarly, in Source C, it states that “Intolerance towards Muslims in New Zealand is all the more distressing because it is wholly unjustified.” This shows that discrimination is unjustified and therefore wrong. OR Similarity: Both sources are similar in saying that Muslims are being treated unfairly in New Zealand. This can be seen in Source B which states “a young woman was abused on a train for being Muslim and wearing a headscarf. This happened after 50 people had been killed in Christchurch.” This shows that Muslims are exposed to assault just because of the display of their faith. This does not make sense and they should not be treated as such. Thus, they are being treated in an unfair manner. Similarly, in Source C, it states that “Intolerance towards Muslims in New Zealand is all the more distressing	5-6

	because it is wholly unjustified.” This shows that Muslims are treated in an unjust and unfair manner.	
4	<p>Purpose, supported</p> <p>Both sources are similar in purpose as both are trying to convince New Zealanders that discrimination is wrong so that New Zealanders would stop the discrimination and be more accepting towards other people. This can be seen in Source B which states “No one should feel fear or shame for what they wear or who they are. I don't want them to feel scared. This is their home and they are like us, just normal people trying to live their life.” This shows that one should not be discriminated just because of their belief or how they dress as it is one’s choice to do so and everyone has the right to this. Thus, to discriminate is wrong. Similarly, in Source C, it states that “Intolerance towards Muslims in New Zealand is all the more distressing because it is wholly unjustified.” This shows that discrimination is unjustified and therefore wrong.</p> <p>At that point of time, New Zealanders may think that such discrimination towards a group of people is an acceptable action. However, after understanding that there is no justification for discriminating against people, they would then stop the discrimination and be more accepting towards other people.</p>	7

(c) Study Source D.

Are you surprised by Source D? Explain your answer.

[7]

Level	Descriptors + Answers	Marks
L1	<p>Not surprised based on PROVENANCE</p> <p>I am not surprised that Source D as it is from a minority living New Zealand and he would be aware of racial intolerance against his minority group in New Zealand.</p>	1
L2	<p>Answers based on CONTENT</p> <p>2m: Reason 3m: Reason + Evidence + Explanation</p> <p>I am surprised that people behave unreasonably. This is evident in Source D which states “a neighbour rang to report that a large Maori guy just came out of the campus housing.” This shows that people are so unreasonable and petty to be doing so just because people of different ethnicity are living near them.</p>	2-3

	I am surprised that people behave unprofessionally. This is evident in Source D which states “Without checking the rental list, the security guards barged into our house and bombarded us with questions”. This shows that the security guards did not follow proper procedures but instead acted irrationally and stormed into the house. Such unprofessional behaviour is surprising.	
L3	<p>S/NS + CROSS-REFERENCE to sources.</p> <p>3m: S or NS 4m: S + NS 5m: Scr or NScr 6m: Scr +NScr</p> <p>I am surprised that Maoris face discrimination too as the Background Information shows that New Zealanders are open and welcoming towards everyone. Source D states that “There was also an instance where I received an anonymous hate note in the internal work mail and after investigations, the handwriting later matched to a retired Pakeha colleague.” This is surprising as Background Information says that “With people from such diverse backgrounds living in the country, New Zealand is well known for its strong social integration.” This shows that New Zealanders are supposedly inclusive and are welcoming towards people from different backgrounds. So it is surprising that Maoris have to face discrimination.</p> <p>OR/ AND</p> <p>I am not surprised that people behave unreasonably as Source C shows the impact of media on how people view others. Source D states that “a neighbour rang to report that a large Maori guy just came out of the campus housing. Without checking the rental list, the security guards barged into our house and bombarded us with questions.” This shows that people are unreasonable as they act irrationally towards people of other ethnicities. This is not surprising as Source C states that “This means that for many New Zealanders, they only find out about Muslims from other sources and not the Muslims themselves. Such second-hand information is notoriously inaccurate.” This shows that people are unreasonable as they form judgments based on what is shown in the media rather than their personal experiences. Thus, when they stereotype, they end up being insensitive and racist and their behaviour ends up unreasonable. Therefore, I am not surprised that people behave unreasonably.</p>	3-6
L4	<p>NS based on Provenance explained.</p> <p>Focus: not surprised as the source is from after the mosque attacks when people are more willing to share their experiences</p>	7

	I am not surprised that the Maori shared that discrimination is common in New Zealand. The source states “an instance where I received an anonymous hate note in the internal work mail and after investigations, the handwriting later matched to a retired Pakeha colleague.” I am not surprised as it is expected that people from minority groups will come forward to share their experiences of discrimination after the attacks on the mosques as this source is from June 2019. The attacks started conversations on racism and discrimination in New Zealand and under such circumstances, it is not surprising that the Maori will share his experiences on facing racism and discrimination. As such, I am not surprised by Source D.	
--	--	--

(d) Study Source E.

How useful is this source as evidence about the New Zealanders? Explain your answer. [6]

Level	Descriptors/ Examples	Marks
L1	Useful based on provenance The source is useful as it is an excerpt from a speech by the Minister of Immigration of New Zealand and hence it would be reliable.	1
L2	Useful based on Content 2m: Reason 3m: Reason + Evidence + Explanation The source is useful as it shows that the New Zealanders are welcoming towards immigrants. The source states that “I appreciate all community groups, volunteers and agencies involved in helping us make refugee communities feel welcomed so that they can participate and feel like they belong.” This shows that New Zealanders are putting in a lot of effort to make the immigrants feel comfortable in the new environment.	2-3
L3	Useful (L2) + CR (4m) L2 + My stand is supported by Background Information which also says that New Zealanders are welcoming towards immigrants. The Background Information states that “With people from such diverse backgrounds living in the country, New Zealand is well known for its strong social integration.” This implies that New Zealanders put in the effort to make immigrants feel included. Since Background Information supports Source E, it enhances the latter’s reliability and thus Source E is useful.	4

L4	<p>Useful based on Reliability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Provide a message to show that the source is useful (ii) Explain provenance (iii) Explain this reason using evidence from the source (iv) Explain why a source could be reliable based on reason identified <p>This source is useful in saying that (i) New Zealanders are welcoming towards immigrants. (ii) This source is a speech by the Minister of Immigration of New Zealand and hence it would be reliable. (iii) The source states that “I appreciate all community groups, volunteers and agencies involved in helping us make refugee communities feel welcomed so that they can participate and feel like they belong.” This shows that New Zealanders are putting in a lot of effort to make the immigrants feel comfortable in the new environment. (iv) This information is reliable as it is a comment made by the Minister of Immigration and as a Minister, <u>he is aware of the efforts played by the different organisations and agencies that has helped to ensure that the immigrant communities feel at ease in a new environment.</u> As such, what he has said may be credible, and thus prove the source useful.</p>	5
L5	<p>Not useful based on reliability (Context/ motive or Source Analysis)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Stand + Message (ii) Provenance + Reliability (iii) Provide evidence + explanation (iv) Context/ motive (v) Link to reliability <p>The source is (i) not useful in saying that New Zealanders are welcoming towards immigrants. (ii) The source is from the Minister of Immigration and thus he would be biased towards efforts to integrate immigrants in society. (iii) The source states that “I appreciate all community groups, volunteers and agencies involved in helping us make refugee communities feel welcomed so that they can participate and feel like they belong.” This shows that New Zealanders are putting in a lot of effort to make the immigrants feel comfortable in the new environment. (iv) Moreover, since this source is from the Minister, he would be inclined to highlight how New Zealanders are welcoming as at this point in time, the mosques attacks are over and the country is under fire for discrimination and racism. Many people from around the world who are thinking of moving to the country and who think that it is a racism-free country will change their opinions of New Zealand. This reflects very poorly on the country’s international standing. (v) Thus, the Minister would intentionally show that his countrymen are always welcoming towards immigrants and outsiders as a whole so that the international community would not condemn New Zealand as being a racist country and people would</p>	6

	still want to migrate to New Zealand. Thus, he could be biased and therefore not useful as evidence.	
--	--	--

(e) Study ALL sources.

How far do the sources agree that New Zealand is successful in achieving harmony in its diverse society? Explain your answer. [10]

Marks	Descriptors/ Examples	Mark
L1	Identifies sources that support or/and do not support statement. Agree OR Disagree- 1m Agree AND Disagree- 2m	1-2
L2	<p>Describe + Explain one point of view, supported.</p> <p>Award 3m: Agree/ Disagree, unsupported. Award 4m: Agree + Disagree, unsupported. Award 5m: Agree/Disagree, supported with one source. Award 6m: Agree/ Disagree, supported with two sources.</p> <p>*Quality analysis should be given precedence over quantity of sources analysed. * Explanations should not contain keywords from the sources. Explanation should be in candidates' own words.</p> <p>Success Criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Stand (The source agree/disagree) (ii) Identify the reason why New Zealand is successful/ not successful in achieving harmony (iii) Provides evidence (iv) Shows how evidence indicates that the efforts to ensure harmony is successful/ able to manage the problem/ able to minimise tensions or unrest in society <p>Yes, supported by sources.</p> <p>Source A: (i) Source A agrees that New Zealand is successful in achieving harmony in its diverse society as (ii) <u>New Zealanders make an effort to ensure peace.</u> (iii) This is evident in Source A which shows where the people are working together to bring down the word "HATE" and "Let's unravel it, let's pull it apart. Let's make something better. With aroha for our Muslim whanau". (iv) This shows that New Zealand is successful in achieving harmony in its diverse society as the people in New Zealand are inclusive and they do not allow HATE to exist. They try to live together in peace and form</p>	3-6

	<p>friendships with people of different backgrounds. This would ensure that harmony can be achieved as there would be lesser chance of racist behaviour and discrimination. Thus, New Zealand is successful in achieving harmony in its diverse society.</p> <p><u>Other sources that show that New Zealand are successful in achieving harmony in its diverse society</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Source B: ensure that extremists and racists are not welcomed. Will stand in solidarity with victims in times of crisis. ○ Source E: ensure that programmes are available to help immigrants settle and adapt to fit into New Zealand society. <p><u>No, supported by sources</u></p> <p>Source F (i) disagrees that New Zealand is successful in achieving harmony in its diverse society (ii) as people are still influenced by extremist views and can get away easily with such hate crime. (iii) This is evident in the source which states “He is known for his religious intolerance and extreme views and in 2016, he also sent a mosque a box containing a severed pig’s head, cut in half and soaked in blood. He was only let off with a fine for his offence. His hatred isn’t reserved for Muslims only, but also towards the Jews.” (iv) This shows that despite New Zealand claiming to be an inclusive and tolerant multicultural society, it is still not successful in achieving harmony as some of its citizens are influenced by extremist views and the punishment to prevent racist behaviour was not harsh enough to prevent future racist actions. As such, this will lead to more insensitive and racist actions that could cause social tensions and unrest in the country. Thus, New Zealand is not successful in achieving harmony in its diverse society.</p> <p><u>Other sources that show that</u> New Zealand are not successful in achieving harmony in its diverse society</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Source C: people are still being ignorant and chose to believe information from the media rather than to go out and interact with Muslims to learn more about them. ○ Source D: people still feel scared and insecure about their safety 	
L3	<p>Explain both points of view, supported.</p> <p>7m: 1Ae + 1De 8m: 2Ae + 1De or 1Ae + 2De 9m: 2Ae + 2De 10m: 3Ae + 2De/ 2Ae +3De</p>	7-10

	*If students highlight 2 perspectives from the same source, it is still considered as 2 sources.	
--	--	--

a) **Extract 1** highlights the problem of brain drain from Singapore.

[7]

In your opinion, explain how the government can **convince** Singaporeans to work in Singapore. Explain your answer using **one** recommendation.

Level	Descriptor	Marks
1	Describes the topic	1-2
2	Identifies/ Describe strategy Award 3m for identifying one recommendation (i) Award 4-5m for describing one recommendation (i) + (iii)	3-5
3	L2+ Explains strategy Award 6m for weak explanation (i) + (iii)+ (iv) Award 7m for a strong explanation, with links to the outcome (i) - (iv) Success Criteria to qualify for this level: i. Identify a strategy ii. Identify a reason for the lack of motivation of Singaporeans to work in SG iii. Describe a specific example of strategy mentioned above iv. Show how your strategy solves the problem One way to convince Singaporeans to work in Singapore is to (i) put in place a policy to ensure work life balance . (ii) There is a need for the government to convince Singaporeans to work in Singapore as most Singaporeans are now more interested to find career opportunities overseas rather than to work in Singapore due to reason such as shorter working hours and a more balanced work-life harmony. For example, most Singaporeans are interested to work in US Silicon Valley, which is home to many startup and global technology companies rather than to work in Singapore in the same sector. Most Singaporeans find that the working hours in Singapore are long and that they would not be able to have a work-life harmony. Furthermore, Singapore is known as one of the countries with the longest working hours in the world. (iii) As such, the government need to implement strategies to convince Singaporeans to work in Singapore by putting in place a policy to regulate the working hours. For example, the government can introduce 5-day work week arrangement where it is compulsory for Singapore employers to give their employees two rest days. This would allow Singaporeans to have more time for themselves and to catch up on their personal lives. (iv) As a result, they would be	6-7

	able to achieve a more balanced work-life harmony. This would make Singaporeans realise that working in Singapore is not as bad, hence they would remain in Singapore to work.	
--	--	--

- b) **Extracts 2 and 3** highlights the strategies Singapore adopts to ensure economic growth. **[8]**

Explain how foreign direct investments and free trade agreements can lead to economic growth in Singapore.

Level	Descriptor	Marks
1	Writes about the topic without addressing the question.	1-2
2	Describes the strategies Award 3-4 marks for describing one role Award 4-5 marks for describing both roles Weak description: (i)+ (ii)/ (iii) Strong description: (i) -(iii)	3-5
3	Explains the strategies Award 6-7 marks for one impact Award 7-8 marks for both impacts Weak explanation: (i) + (iii) – (v) Strong explanation: (i) - (v) i) States what is the factor about ii) Describe why the factor exist iii) Describe an example of the factor iv) Show how this factor can lead to economic growth (increase in national revenue / increase in national income) <u>Factor: Foreign Direct Investments</u> Foreign Direct investment can lead to economic growth by bringing in more businesses to the country. (i) Foreign Direct Investments refer to investments in a company in one country by a foreign company. (ii) This is possible due to the stable and conducive business environment in a particular country which is able to attract foreign investors to invest and set up businesses in the country. (iii) For example, Singapore has been maintaining its economic growth through foreign direct investments by numerous foreign investors who have pumped their funds into the higher value-added manufacturing industries such as those involving semiconductors and integrated circuits. (iv) Through such foreign direct investments, such industries will flourish and generate more revenue in the country	6-8

which will lead to a rise in the country's national income. In this manner, Singapore's economic growth is ensured.

Factor: Free Trade Agreements

Free Trade Agreements can lead to economic growth as it can contribute to high economic revenue from the foreign companies as well as allow local companies to expand their operations. **(i)** Free Trade Agreements refer to treaties between countries to establish a free trade area where exchange of goods and services can be conducted, without tariff or hindrances across borders. **(ii)** Countries are keen to have these FTAs as there are substantial amounts of tariff savings and an increase in trading. **(iii)** For example, Singapore has signed numerous free trade agreements with many countries and such countries includes United States, Australia, China and India. The USA-Singapore FTA includes the electronics and information technology, chemical and petrochemicals as well as textile industries. **(iv)** Such products can be sold at cheaper prices overseas and there could be an increase in profits for businesses in Singapore as their products are more price competitive. Thus, the national revenue and national incomes will rise leading to economic growth in Singapore.