

<b>Name</b>	<b>Class</b>	<b>Index No.</b>
-------------	--------------	------------------



**ANG MO KIO SECONDARY SCHOOL  
PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION 2019  
SECONDARY FOUR EXPRESS / FIVE NORMAL (ACADEMIC)**

**HUMANITIES  
Social Studies**

**2272/01 2273/01 2274/01  
2175//01 2176/01**

**2 September 2019/ Monday**

**1 hour 45 minutes**

Additional Materials: Writing Paper

**READ THESE INSTRUCTIONS FIRST:**

Write your name, index number and class on all the work you hand in.  
Write in dark blue or black pen.  
You may use a soft pencil for any rough working.  
Do not use staples, paper clips, highlighters, glue or correction fluid.

**Section A**

Answer **all parts** of Question 1.

**Section B**

Answer the question.

At the end of the examination, fasten all your work securely together.  
The number of marks is given in brackets [ ] at the end of the question or part question.

**This document consists of 7 printed pages, including the cover page.**

**[Turn Over]**

**Section A (Source-Based Case Study)**

Question 1 is **compulsory** for all candidates.

**1. LIVING IN A DIVERSE SOCIETY**

Study the Background Information and the sources carefully, and then answer **all** the questions.

You may use any of the sources to help you answer the questions, in addition to those sources which you are told to use. In answering the questions you should use your knowledge of the topic to help you interpret and evaluate the sources.

- (a) Study Source A.  
What can you tell from Source A about how racism is dealt with in Singapore? Explain your answer using details of source. [5]
- (b) Study Source B.  
Why did the Prime Minister publish the post? Explain your answer. [6]
- (c) Study Source C.  
How far does Source C prove that racial diversity is managed effectively in Singapore? Explain your answer. [7]
- (d) Study Sources D and E.  
Source D and E have differing views on whether the government's reaction to the Preeti's saga is justified. Does that mean that one of them is wrong? Explain your answer. [7]
- (e) 'More needs to be done to manage racial diversity in Singapore.'  
Using sources in the case study, explain how far you will agree with this statement. [10]

## To what extent has racial diversity been managed effectively in Singapore?

### BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Read this carefully. It may help you answer some of the questions.

Singapore is a diverse society with individuals of different races, religions, nationalities and socio-economic status. The inability to manage racial diversity effectively has the potential to threaten the peace and security of the country. Today, issues such as race continue to be a possible cause of conflict among the different groups. Some have argued that Singapore is a fine example of how a country with people of different races can co-exist peacefully in harmony. In contrast, critiques have argued that the harmony in Singapore is only superficial. The recent case of the Preeti Nair's 'brownface' saga is said to reveal racial fault lines beneath the harmonious surface when a comedian Preeti Nair, known as Preeti Nair, and her brother, rapper Subhas Nair, released a rap video on 29 July 2019 criticizing an advertisement where an ethnic Chinese actor had his skin darkened to portray an Indian character and donned a head scarf to impersonate a Muslim Malay woman. In the vulgarity-laden video, they criticised some individuals from the majority Chinese race of casual racism and being insensitive to minority races. Subsequently, a police report was made and they were made take down the video and apologise. On 30 July, Law and Home Affairs Minister K Shanmugam and a few other ministers condemned the video, stating that it has crossed the line and can upset racial harmony in Singapore.

Read the following sources carefully to determine if Singapore has effectively managed racial diversity.

**Source A:** A series of cartoon published by Singaporean cartoonist to express her views on racism in Singapore.

#### A CONVERSATION BETWEEN THE CARTOONIST AND THE MAJORITY RACE...



**Source B:** A post by the Prime Minister of Singapore published on social media on Racial Harmony Day.

Happy Racial Harmony Day! Our schools have been commemorating the occasion by dressing in ethnic costumes, putting up skits, and recalling our shared history of interracial relations. Such scenes are unique to Singapore - we embrace our diversity and celebrate each other's customs and cultures, but also remember the times when tensions between the ethnic and racial groups boiled over into conflict and violence. Race and religion are potential divisive fault lines and this can be seen in many countries. We must never let that happen here. We worked long and hard to arrive here, and we must work even harder to preserve this peace for future generations.

**Source C:** A report based on survey findings from a study of race, religion and language carried out by the Institute of Policy Studies (IPS), an independent research centre that aims to generate new ideas on policies in Singapore, July 2019.

Results in 2018 showed there continued to be very low proportions of minorities who perceived discrimination in using public services such as hospitals or social service agencies (nine in 10 said they did not feel they were treated differently because of their race in such instances). About 90 per cent of Singaporeans also reported that they were comfortable with someone of another race being their colleague or neighbour. However, one area of concern is the marginal rise in perception of work related discrimination (such as in applying for jobs) among Malays and Indians, where some individuals indicated that they are treated unfairly due to their race.

**Source D:** An online article in response to the government's reaction to the Preeti Nair rap video published on a political blog that is known for its anti-government stance, 2 August 2019.

When comedian Preeti Nair, known as Preeti Nair, and her brother, rapper Subhas Nair, released a rap video criticizing the e-pay advertisement, they were judged by the Law Minister to have crossed a line in attacking the dominant Chinese majority in Singapore and the artistes were subjected to a police investigation. The state's action is not only excessive but also unfair, because it is clear from the video that the duo are not attacking Chinese Singaporeans per se, but Chinese racists for their racism. Preeti Nair even provides a disclaimer, spoken clearly in the song itself, that "Not all Chinese people are racists. Only the racist ones ah." Watching the video, I am struck not by the swear words, but by the softening of the criticism through musicality, wit, humor, and caveats. I wonder what justifiable rage lies below the video. And what exhaustion lies below the rage. For it must be enraging, and exhausting, to have to explain again and again why brownface is not just a joke, but an insult.

**Source E:** A Facebook post by a Minister from a minority race in Singapore on 30 July 2019.

I saw Preeti Nair's rap video. Disturbing, bad taste, unacceptable. It's one thing to be upset over the 'brownface' e-pay advertisement for e-pay - yes, it is an insensitive advertisement. But it's totally another, in fact, very wrong to rub salt and respond with such disgrace and spite. If we don't stand up and condemn this offensive act now, if we keep silent, or worse, laugh and sing along, remember it could be us next time! I won't keep quiet when rap is used to attach derogatory labels, hurl vulgar words and gestures at Chinese Singaporeans. It is damaging to insult, denigrate, mock, denounce along race or religious lines. Not here in our home Singapore. I call for stern action.

## SECTION B (Structured-Response Question)

Question 2 is **compulsory** for all candidates.

### 2 CITIZENSHIP AND GOVERNANCE

Study extracts carefully, and then answer the questions.

#### Extract 1



#### Extract 2

Diplomacy is one of the twin pillars of Singapore's defence policy to keep Singapore safe. In order to do that, Singapore actively seeks to build diplomatic relations with countries around the world.

#### Extract 3

In the world today where situations are volatile, Singapore adopts the policy of deterrence to keep Singapore safe from external threat.

**(a)** Extract 1 states that 65% of youths in Singapore have engaged in at least one civic activity in the past year.

In your opinion, what can schools do to encourage more youths to continue to volunteer on a regular basis? Explain your answer using two strategies. (7)

**(b)** Extract 2 and Extract 3 highlight diplomacy and deterrence help keep Singapore safe from external threats.

Do you think diplomacy is more effective than deterrence in ensuring peace in Singapore? Explain your answer. (8)

### **End of Paper**

#### **Acknowledgement**

Source A <https://www.instagram.com/p/BOgAy0417Je/>

Source B <https://www.facebook.com/leehsienloong/photos/a.344710778924968/25767549190538651?type=3>

Source C [https://lkkyspp.nus.edu.sg/docs/default-source/ips/ips-working-paper-no-35\\_ips-onepeoplesg-indicators-of-racial-and-religious-harmony\\_comparing-results-from-2018-and-2013.pdf?sfvrsn=c0ed7e0a\\_2](https://lkkyspp.nus.edu.sg/docs/default-source/ips/ips-working-paper-no-35_ips-onepeoplesg-indicators-of-racial-and-religious-harmony_comparing-results-from-2018-and-2013.pdf?sfvrsn=c0ed7e0a_2)

Source D <http://www.tremeritus.net/2019/08/02/please-listen-to-preetipls/>

Source E [https://www.facebook.com/amrin.page/posts/2377285989225540?\\_tn\\_=-R](https://www.facebook.com/amrin.page/posts/2377285989225540?_tn_=-R)

Extract 1 <https://www.straitstimes.com/singapore/5-things-millennials-are-tired-of-hearing>

## **SUGGESTED ANSWERS**

### **SECTION A: Source-based questions.**

<b>1(a)</b>	<b>Study Source A.</b>	<b>EXP</b>
	What can tell from Source A about racism in Singapore? Explain your answer using details of source.	[5]
<b>L1</b>	<b>Describing the source without addressing the question focus of racism / direct lifting the source</b>  e.g. The source shows that people saying that it is a joke and the girl asking who is it funny for. e.g I can infer that there is no racism in Singapore.	<b>1 m</b>
<b>L2</b>	<b>Valid inference that addresses the question focus of racism unsupported/ Addresses the question focus of racism but no valid inference made</b>	<b>2/3 m</b>
<b>L3</b>	<b>Valid inference that addresses the question focus of racism</b> e.g I can infer that racism is not being dealt with effectively in Singapore [INFERENCE]. This can be supported by the source that states that 'it is hard to see racism if you are the privileged majority' and that 'it is a joke' or 'I work hard to get here' are responses given by people [EVIDENCE]. This shows racism is being dealt with effectively in Singapore as the society in general does not acknowledge the existence of racism· and often tries to brush the issue aside [EXPLANATION].	<b>4/5m</b>



<b>1(b)</b>	Study Source B.	<b>EXP</b>
	Why did the Prime Minister publish the post? Explain your answer.	[6]
	<b>Did not address the question</b> <i>Did not phrase the answer using the phrasing of the question</i> <i>Uses phrases like 'the audience is', 'the message is', 'the outcome is' or 'I can infer that'</i>	<b>0m</b>
<b>L1</b>	<b>Addresses question but lifting/describing.</b>  e.g: The Prime Minister published the post to remind Singaporeans that we must never let that happen here/ Preserve this peace for future generations.	<b>1m</b>
<b>L2</b>	<b>Addresses question with context/ sub-message</b> e.g: The Prime Minister published as it is Racial Harmony Day.	<b>2m</b>
<b>L3</b>	<b>Addresses question with Message or Outcome</b> Award higher mark for fully developed answer.	<b>3-4m</b>
<b>L4</b>	<b>Addresses question with Message and Outcome</b> Award higher mark for fully developed answer.  e.g: The Prime Minister published the post to convince Singaporeans [Audience] that maintaining social harmony is important [Message]. This can be supported by the source that states that 'tensions between the ethnic and racial groups boiled over into conflict and violence. Race and religion are potential divisive fault lines and this can be seen in many countries. [EVIDENCE] This shows that maintaining social harmony is important as it can affect peace and stability within a country [EXPLANATION]. Since this is published on Racial Harmony Day [Context], it is to convince Singaporeans to play their part to ensure social harmony in Singapore [OUTCOME].	<b>5-6m</b>

<b>1(c)</b>	Study Source C.	<b>EXP</b>
	How far does Source C prove that racial diversity is managed effectively in Singapore?	[7]
	<b>Did not address the question</b> <i>Award 0m if student did not state prove / does not prove.</i>	<b>0</b>
<b>L1</b>	<b>Prove/ Does not prove based on Provenance, Not Explained</b> <b>Prove/Does not prove but did not address question focus on whether racial diversity is managed effectively in Singapore.</b>  e.g. Source C proves/does not prove that racial harmony is managed effectively as it is by the Institute of Policy Studies (IPS) an autonomous research centre that aims to study and generate public policy ideas in Singapore. e.g. Source C proves/ does not prove. Source C claims that there is racism in Singapore.	<b>1m</b>
<b>L2</b>	<b>Description of Source/Lifting</b>  e.g Source C proves/does not prove that racial harmony is managed effectively as Source C claims that there continued to be very low proportions of minorities who perceived discrimination in using public services.	<b>2m</b>
<b>L3</b>	<b>Prove/ Does not prove based on Content</b> Award higher mark for fully developed answer.  <u>Does Not Prove</u> Source C does not prove that racial harmony is managed effectively [STAND) as Source C claims there is. racism' in Singapore [INFERENCE]. This can be supported by 'the marginal rise in perception of work-related discrimination (such as in applying for jobs) among Malays and Indians where some individuals indicated that they are treated unfairly due to their race.' [EVIDENCE]. This shows that there is racism in Singapore as people are denied of job opportunities because of their race [EXPLANATION]. Hence. Source C does not prove that racial harmony is managed effectively.  <u>Prove</u> Source D proves that not enough is done to help Singaporeans living in poverty (Stand). Source D claims that individuals cannot cope with the cost of living in Singapore (Inference) This can be supported by the source that 'No one can afford to get sick in this house because our finances are too tight' (Evidence). This shows that individuals cannot cope with the cost of living in Singapore as they cannot afford basic needs like healthcare (Explanation)	<b>3-4m</b>

<p><b>L4</b></p>	<p><b>Prove/ Does not prove based on Cross-referencing</b> Award higher mark for fully developed answer.</p> <p>Proves Based on cross-referencing to Source B, Source C proves that racial harmony is managed effectively [STAND]. Source C claims there is positive relations between individuals of different racial groups [INFERENCE]. This can be supported by 'About 90 per cent of Singaporeans also reported that they were- comfortable with someone of another race being their colleague or neighbour.' [EVIDENCE]. This shows that there positive relations between individuals of different racial groups as there is trust between different racial groups [EXPLANATION]. Source B also claims that there positive relations between individuals of different racial groups [INFERENCE]. This can be supported by 'we embrace our diversity and celebrate each other's customs and cultures' [EVIDENCE]. This shows that there positive relations between individuals of different racial groups as there is acceptance and tolerance between different groups [EXPLANATION]. Since Source B supports Source C. Source C is reliable. Hence. Source C proves [REASONING].</p> <p>Does Not Prove Based on cross-referencing to Source A. Source C does not prove that racial harmony is managed effectively [STAND]. Source C claims there is no racism in Singapore [INFERENCE]. This can be supported by 'About 90 per cent of Singaporeans also reported that they were comfortable with someone of another race being their colleague or neighbour.' [EVIDENCE]. This shows that there is no racism in as there is trust between different racial groups and they can get along well [EXPLANATION]. Source A claims that there is racism in Singapore [INFERENCE]. This can be supported by 'so did they'. But the Chinese company only hires Chinese people' [EVIDENCE]. This shows that there is racism in Singapore as there the minority group faces discrimination when looking for jobs [EXPLANATION]. Since Source A contradicts Source C, Source C is unreliable. Hence, Source C does not prove [REASONING].</p>	<p><b>5-6m</b></p>
<p><b>L5</b></p>	<p><b>Proves on Provenance + Purpose explained</b> <i>Award 7 marks for more developed answers.</i></p> <p>Based on provenance and purpose explained, Source C proves. Source C is report based on survey findings from a study of race, religion and language carried out by the Institute of Policy Studies (IPS). It hopes to highlight to Singaporeans! Singapore government [AUDIENCE] that while there is generally social harmony in Singapore, racism still exists [MESSAGE] By doing so, it hopes to raise of racial relations in Singapore to awareness so as to convince Singaporeans/ Singapore government to take further steps to reduce racism in Singapore/ improve racial relations in Singapore [OUTCOME]. Since IPS is an autonomous research centre, it is a</p>	<p><b>6-7m</b></p>

	neutral party: that seeks to look into racial relations in Singapore and shed light on the issue without vested interest. Hence, it is unlikely that the source will be biased. This makes the source reliable. Therefore, it proves [REASONING].	
--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

<b>1(d)</b>	<b>Study Sources D &amp; E.</b>	<b>EXP</b>
	Source D and E have differing views on whether the government's reaction to the Preetipls saga is justified. Does it mean that one is wrong? Explain your answer.	[7]
	<b>Does not address question</b> Award 0m if student does not answer the question and state whether one of them is wrong.	<b>0m</b>
<b>L1</b>	<b>Addresses question but describing or lifting</b>  Yes, it means that one of them is wrong. Source D states that 'This is unreasonable. For it must be enraging, and exhausting, to have to explain again and again why brownface is not just a joke, but an insult. In contrast, Source E states that, 'It is damaging to insult, I denigrate, mock, denounce along race or religious lines. Not here in our home Singapore. I call for stern action.	<b>1m</b>
<b>L2</b>	<b>Addresses question but did not pay attention to question focus</b> (Did not address if government's reaction is justified or not justified)	<b>2m</b>
<b>L3</b>	<b>Based on Provenance</b> Yes, it means that one of them is wrong. Source D is an online article published on a political blog that is known for its anti-government stance. Source E is A Facebook post by a Minister. Since Source E is by a member of the government, it is more credible, hence it is right. Since Source D is a political blog, it is wrong.	<b>3m</b>
<b>L4</b>	<b>Based on Content Comparison</b> Award higher mark for fully developed answer. Source D and E have differing views about on whether the government's reaction to the Preetipls saga is justified. Source D claims that government's reaction to the Preetipls saga is not justified. (Inference) This can be supported by the source that states that "This is unreasonable. It is clear from the video that the duo are not attacking Chinese Singaporeans per se, but Chinese racists for their racism ... For it must be enraging, and exhausting, to have to explain again and again why brown face is not just a joke, but an insult.' (Evidence) This shows that government's reaction is not justified as it is too harsh and what they only did it because of the racism they face. (Explanation). In contrast, Source E claims that government's reaction to the Preetipls saga is justified. (Inference) This can be supported by 'It is damaging to insult, denigrate, mock, denounce along race or religious lines. Not here in our home Singapore. I call for stern action' (Evidence) This shows that government's reaction is justified as what the two of them did was wrong (Explanation).	<b>4-5m</b>

<b>L5</b>	<p><b>Based on Provenance/ Purpose Analysis</b>  Award 6m for answers with AMCO or Reasoning.  Award 7m for answers with AMCO AND Reasoning</p> <p>Based on analysis of provenance and purpose, although the two sources are different, it does not mean that one is wrong (Stand). Source D hopes to convince Singaporeans [AUDIENCE] that government's reaction to the Preetipls saga is not justified [MESSAGE]. Since this was published shortly after the government made the creators of the video take down the video and apologise [CONTEXT], it is likely that the political blog that is known for its anti-government stance hopes highlight that the government is not handling the situation appropriately so as to convince Singaporeans to pressure the government to handle the issue of casual racism in Singapore better [OUTCOME].</p> <p>Source E hopes to convince Singaporeans [AUDIENCE] that government's reaction to the Preetipls saga is justified [MESSAGE]. Since it was posted shortly after the video went viral and there are mixed reactions on the government's stance towards, the minister who from a minority race will want to convince Singaporeans the government is right take a tough stance towards any actions that can potentially upset harmony between races so that Singaporeans will rally together and condone such actions [OUTCOME] Since both sources have very different agenda and perspective, it is natural that both sources will have very different views towards the issue. Hence, although the two sources have differing views about the need to have a poverty line in Singapore, it does not mean that one of them is wrong (Reasoning).</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Since both sources have their own vested interest, both sources are unreliable. Hence, both of them are wrong (<b>Reasoning</b>)</p>	<b>6-7m</b>
-----------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------

<b>1(e)</b>	'Singapore government has provided enough help for the poor.'	<b>EXP</b>
	Using sources in the case study, explain how far you will agree with this statement.	[10]
<b>L1</b>	<b>Writes about the statement, No valid source use</b>	<b>1m</b>
<b>L2</b>	<b>Agree OR Disagree, Supported by valid source use</b> 1 source: 2m 2 sources: 3-4m 3 sources: 4m  Agree: A, B, C, D, E Disagree: B, C,	<b>2-4m</b>
<b>L3</b>	<b>Agree AND Disagree Supported by valid source use</b>  2 Sources: 5m 3 Sources: 6m 4 Source: 7m 5 Sources: 8m Unbalanced: Max 5-6m  <u>Agree</u> I agree that more needs to be done to manage racial diversity in Singapore (Stand) as Source A claims that racism is not being dealt with effectively in Singapore (INFERENCE). This can be supported by the source that states that 'it is hard to see racism if you are the privileged majority' and that 'it is a joke' or 'I work hard to get here' are responses given by people (EVIDENCE). This shows that more needs to be done to manage racial diversity in Singapore as the society in general does not acknowledge the existence of racism and often tries to brush the issue aside [EXPLANATION]. Hence, I agree that more needs to be done to manage racial diversity in Singapore.  I agree that more needs to be done to manage racial diversity in Singapore (Stand) as Source D claims that racism is a severe problem in Singapore [INFERENCE]. This can be supported by the source that states that 'For it must be enraging, and exhausting, to have to explain again and again why brown face is not just a joke, but an insult.' [EVIDENCE]. This shows that more needs to be done to manage racial diversity in Singapore as life is difficult for the minority groups as they constantly have to deal with insensitive actions of the majority group [EXPLANATION]. Hence, I agree that more needs to be done to manage racial diversity in Singapore.  I agree that more needs to be done to manage racial diversity in Singapore (Stand) as Source E claims that there is tension between different racial groups in Singapore [INFERENCE]. This can be	<b>5-8m</b>

	<p>supported by the source that states that ' ... rap is used to attach derogatory labels, hurl vulgar words and gestures at Chinese Singaporeans. It is damaging to insult, denigrate, mock, denounce along race or religious lines.' [EVIDENCE]. This shows that more needs to be done to manage racial diversity in Singapore as there were offensive things that were said that were targeted at different racial groups [EXPLANATION]. Hence, I agree that more needs to be done to manage racial diversity in Singapore.</p> <p><u>Disagree</u></p> <p>I disagree that more needs to be done to manage racial diversity in Singapore (Stand) as Source B claims that there is racial harmony in Singapore [INFERENCE]. This can be supported by the source that states that ' ... we embrace our diversity and celebrate each other's customs and cultures ... We worked long and hard to arrive here' [EVIDENCE]. This shows that there is racial harmony in Singapore and there is tolerance and respect between different races [EXPLANATION]. Hence, I disagree that more needs to be done to manage racial diversity in Singapore.</p> <p>I disagree that more needs to be done to manage racial diversity in Singapore (Stand) as Source C claims that Singapore has achieved racial harmony [INFERENCE]:- This can be supported by 'About 90 per cent of Singaporeans also reported that they were comfortable with someone of another race being their colleague or neighbour.' [EVIDENCE]. This shows that there positive relations between individuals of different racial groups as there is trust between different racial groups [EXPLANATION]. Hence, I disagree that more needs to be done to manage racial diversity in Singapore.</p>	
<b>[+2]</b>	<p>Checking of Reliability</p> <p>Example from Contextual Knowledge</p> <p>Balanced Conclusion</p>	<b>+2</b>

## Section B: Structured- Response Question

**2(a)** Extract 1 states that 65% of youths in Singapore have engaged in at least one civic activity in the past year.

In your opinion, what can schools do to encourage more youths to volunteer their time and contribute to society? Explain your answer using two strategies.  
(7)

Level	Descriptor	Marks
<b>L1</b>	<b>Describes the topic without addressing the question</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>L2</b>	<b>Identifies/ Describes Reason(s)</b> <i>Award 2 marks for identifying one reason</i> <i>Award 3 marks for identifying two reasons</i> <i>Award 3 marks for describing one reasons</i> <i>Award 4 marks for describing two reasons</i>	<b>2-4</b>
<b>L3</b>	<b>L2 + Explains Reason(s)</b> <i>Award 5-6 marks for explaining one reason</i> <i>Award 6-7 marks for explaining two reasons</i>  e.g. Schools can encourage more youths to volunteer their time and contribute to society by increasing awareness of the positive impact of volunteering (POINT) Schools can invite volunteers and beneficiaries to give an assembly talk. During the talk, volunteers can share how they have benefited from their volunteering experience and beneficiaries can also share on how the volunteers have impacted their lives [ELABORATION]. This will encourage more youths to volunteer their time and contribute to society because by knowing the positive impacts of volunteering, youths will be more motivated to volunteer their time as they will find it more meaningful. This will make them more willing to contribute to society [EXPLANATION]. Hence, one way that schools can encourage more youths to volunteer their time and contribute to society is to increase awareness of the positive impact of volunteering [LINK].  e.g. Schools can encourage more youths to volunteer their time and contribute to society by making students aware of the different volunteering opportunities available [POINT]. Schools can tie up with different voluntary welfare groups to find suitable	<b>5-7</b>



	<p>volunteering opportunities for students. There can be a fair in school that showcases the different volunteering opportunities available and students who are interested can sign up. [ELABORATION]. This will encourage more youths to volunteer their time and contribute to society because being aware of the different volunteering opportunities will make students more aware of the different segment of the society that they can contribute to. They will be able to find something that they find meaningful and be more willing to volunteer their time [EXPLANATION]. Hence, making students aware of the different volunteering opportunities available one way that schools can Schools can encourage more youths to volunteer their time and contribute to society [LINK]</p>	
--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

**b)** Extract 2 and Extract 3 highlight diplomacy and deterrence help keep Singapore safe from external threats.

Do you think diplomacy is more effective than deterrence in ensuring peace in Singapore? Explain your answer. (8)

Level	Descriptor	Marks
<b>L1</b>	<b>Describes the topic without answering the question</b>	<b>1-2</b>
<b>L2</b>	<b>Describes the factor(s) (definition + eg)</b>  <i>Award 3 marks for describing one factor</i> <i>Award 4 marks for describing both factors.</i>	<b>3-4</b>
<b>L3</b>	<b>Explains the factor(s)</b> <i>Award 5-6 marks for explaining one factor.</i> <i>Award 6-7 marks for explaining both factors.</i>  e.g. Diplomacy is effective in ensuring peace in Singapore [POINT]. Diplomacy refers to the country actively building good relations with countries around the world. This can be done through building bilateral relations and multilateral relations with other countries. Singapore is an active member of regional associations like ASEAN and international associations like United Nations to promote good relations with other countries [ELABORATION] Diplomacy is effective in ensuring peace in Singapore because with good relations, other countries will more likely to try to resolve any disagreements they have with Singapore in an amicable manner rather than aggressively. In addition, with good relations, Singapore will have more allies who will come to our aid should we need help. This could deter potential invaders as they know that Singapore will have the support of other countries in time of war. Hence, they will think twice before attacking [EXPLANATION] Hence, Diplomacy is effective in ensuring peace in Singapore [LINK].  Deterrence is effective in ensuring peace in Singapore [POINT]. Deterrence refers to the policy of building up a country's military power in order to ward off potential invaders. Singapore builds up her military power by developing the Singapore Armed Forces. In 2019, the largest proportion of year's budget is spent on defence. [ELABORATION] Deterrence is effective in ensuring peace in Singapore because with strong military power, potential aggressors will think twice before attacking as They know that Singapore will have the capability of	<b>5-7</b>

	defending herself and the war will not be an easy one for the aggressor. Hence, this will deter them of using force to resolve conflicts as they might not necessarily win the war [EXPLANATION]. Hence, deterrence is effective in ensuring peace in Singapore.	
<b>L4</b>	<b>Both aspects in L3 plus explains the relative importance of each factor</b>	