# 2024 Y6 GP TP - P2 Suggested Responses

1. Using **one** illustration in paragraph 1, explain how the author justifies his claim that 'It is hard to imagine life in a world without rules' (line 1). [2]

From the passage/Lift	Pt	Suggested Answer	Mk
		The author justifies his claim by stating that	
our bodies [follow] some very strict and complex biological laws (lines 1-2)	A1	[INF: RULES ARE FUNDAMENTAL/VERY IMPORTANT/NECESSARY]  Rules are fundamental for the functioning of our bodies	1m
	A2	[NEGATIVE REPERCUSSIONS OF NOT FOLLOWING RULES]	1m
without which we would all be doomed (lines 2-3)		as <u>without them</u> we would <b>die / not</b> survive.	
		OR	
the very words I am writing now	B1	[INF: RULES ARE FUNDAMENTAL/VERY IMPORTANT/NECESSARY]	1m
follow the rules of English (line 3)		Rules form the <u>basis</u> of <u>communication</u>	
	B2	[NEGATIVE REPERCUSSIONS OF NOT FOLLOWING RULES]	1m
Breaking away from the rules of my language makes meincoherent (5-7)		and not complying with / rejecting them would lead to us not being able to communicate / misunderstanding one another /lead us to not make sense	

# 2. Why does the author say that 'a game with no rules is no game at all' (line 14)? [2]

From the passage/Lift	Pt	Suggested Answer	Mk
		He says this because games without rules	
chess or football without rules would be entirely formless (lines 12-13)	Α	would lack any structure / would be completely disorganised and	1m
		NOT: free (or any positive answer) / shapeless (wrong context) / amorphous (or any literal paraphrase) / "would be unregulated", "no guidelines) (or any paraphrase of "no rules")	
		NOTE: Meaning, Intensity, Context in paraphrasing	
and <b>meaningless</b> activities (line 14)	В	would not have purpose / would be pointless / would be futile	1m
		<u>NOT</u> : empty / worthless / insincere / no outcome	

# 3. Explain the author's use of dashes in lines 17-18. [2]

From the passage/Lift	Pt	Suggested Answer	Mk
		He uses dashes	
The conventions of "please" and	Α	<u>FUNCTION</u>	1m
"thank you" that seem so irksome to		To emphasise/underline/highlight	
young children are indeed arbitrary, but the fact that we have such		NOT: about / domanatrata	
		NOT: show / demonstrate	
conventions – and it is <u>crucial</u> that we	B1	[AGREEMENT AMONG ALL]	1m
<b>agree</b> what they are – is part of what		how <u>vital</u> having <b>consensus</b> about	
makes our social <u>interactions</u> run		rules is / that it is <u>essential</u> for us to	
smoothly. (lines 16-19)		concur about rules	
	B2	[FOR IMPROVED INTERACTIONS]	
		as they help people to get along	
		amicably / to relate to each other well /	
		to communicate efficiently	
		NOTE: Need to get B1+B2 for the 1m, as	
		the ideas are LINKED	

4. According to the author, in lines 24-27, why is anarchy 'inherently unstable' (line 25)? [2]

From the passage/Lift	Pt	Suggested Answer	Mk
		Anarchy is inherently unstable as	
humans continually	Α	people <u>create</u> novel/fresh rules <b>without</b>	1-2pt
[generate new rules] (line 25)		stopping / incessantly	= 1m
		Accept: constantly / consistently / always /	3pt =
		repeatedly / frequently / regularly	2m
		NOT: continuously (same root) / persistently	
and <b>spontaneously</b> generate	В	and [create novel/fresh rules] without	
new rules (lines 25-26)		planning / on the spur of the moment	
		Accepts on improved a financial solver	
		Accept: on impulse / impulsively / unexpectedly / unpredictably / on a whim	
		unexpectedly / unpredictably / on a willin	
		BOD: naturally / instinctively	
		BOD. Hatarany / mathematical	
		NOT: suddenly / thoughtlessly / without	
		thinking / randomly	
		NOTE: "generate rules" needs to be	
		captured (no need to paraphrase) in either	
		A or B – if not captured, award only 1m for	
		a correct paraphrase of either bolded word	
and they do so <u>as</u> <b>rapidly</b> <u>as</u> old		and very quickly after past rules are	
rules are <b>dismantled</b> (lines 26-27)		discarded / as soon as previous	
		regulations have been invalidated	
		Accept: abolished / taken down / removed	
	С	BOD: damatiahad	
		BOD: demolished	
		NOT: dissembled / taken apart (too literal)	
		ivo i. uissembleu / taken apart (too literal)	
		NOTE: No mark for responses without	
		second part ("as old rules are dismantled")	

5. From paragraph 6, what contrasts does the author make between games in the past and those in the present. [2]

From the passage/Lift	Pt	Suggested Answer	Mk
-	A1	[CONTRAST: STRUCTURE – VAGUE	1m
		VS THOROUGH]	or
			0m
A game may start with ill-defined		While games in the past had	
teams and <u>structure</u> (lines 36-37)		vague/unclear/imprecise regulations /	
		parameters	
vs.		NOT: lacked shape (or any literal	
<b>V</b> 0.		paraphrase of "structure")	
But it ends upwith a	A2	games today are controlled by rules	
comprehensive rule book dictating		that are thorough/detailed/exhaustive	
every detail of the game (lines 38-39)		-	
		BOD: clear	
	AN	<del>-</del>	
	B1	[CONTRAST: NATURE - RIOTOUS VS	1m
		CIVILISED]	or
(A game may start… with) potentially		While games could be (potentially) <b>chaotic</b>	0m
riotous violence (lines 36-37)		/ disorderly / descend into lawlessness /	
Tiotods violence (lines 50-57)		had <b>rowdy</b> behaviour	
		That Total Bottavious	
		NOT: wild / rebellious (i.e. must capture	
		unruly/lawless)	
VS.			
		NOTE: No need to capture "potentially"	
We even create international	B2	games today have authorities who	
governing bodies to ensure that sport		oversee sport such that it remains <b>orderly</b>	
remains <b>civilised</b> . (lines 38-39)		NOT: peaceful / polite	
		NOT. peaceful? polite	
		NOTE: No need to capture "even" or	
		"international"	
NOTE:	•	·	•

#### NOTE:

If contrasts are not paired properly (e.g. A1-B1 then A2-B2 – or any other sequence that doesn't make each contrast clear) = 0m

6. Suggest how the final paragraph is an effective conclusion to the author's argument as set out in the first paragraph. [1]

From the passage/Lift	Pt	Suggested Answer	Mk
But without some rules society would slide rapidly into pandemonium (lines 42-43)	A	The point that the lack of rules can lead to catastrophe mirrors the idea in paragraph 1 that we would be "doomed"/ruined without rules  OR  The point that it would be chaotic/confusing without rules echoes the idea in paragraph 1 that not abiding by rules can lead to us being "incoherent"/incomprehensible/muddled.  Strategy: mirroring/parallel Context: catastrophe without rules	1m
our tendency to create, stick to, and enforce rules as the very <b>foundation</b> of social and economic life (lines 44-45)	В	The point that the rules form the basis/core of how we interact or do business {accept either} reiterates the opening claim in paragraph 1 that it is difficult to "imagine"/envisage/conceive of life without rules.  Strategy: mirroring/parallel Context: how fundamental rules are	1m

### NOTE:

- No need to paraphrase word(s) from paragraph 1
- Accept any other sensible parallel

# From Passage 2

7. Summarise what Author B has to say about the problems related to making and following rules.

From passage	Pt	Suggested paraphrase
for this way <b>tyranny</b> also lies (line 6)	A	[OPPRESSION]
/oppressive, patterns of behaviour (line 7) / instruments of tyranny (line 33)	A	Used for subjugation/coercion/domination
powerful sense of wanting to enforceirrespective of their justification (lines 6-9) / people can become so fervent about arbitrary rules (lines 12-13)	В	[OVERZEALOUS FOLLOWING OF RANDOM RULES] People can be fanatical/obsessed/overly eager/overly zealous about illogical/subjective/capricious rules  ["arbitrary" – NOT: haphazard]
that they may exact <b>extreme</b> punishments to maintain them (line 14) / mete out <b>such</b> retribution (line 15)	С	[EXTREME PUNISHMENT] People impose excessive/severe penalties for breaking rules  BOD: very bad  NOTE: Paraphrase must capture intensity NOT: negative/bad/harmful – but very harmful  NOTE: "such" (15) must be paraphrased to capture the sense of "excessive"
the rules must be <u>obeyed</u> , <u>just because</u> they are the rules (lines 16-17) / we <u>simply absorb</u> "the way we do things around here" (line 5)	D	[BLINDLY FOLLOW] People follow/internalise rules unquestioningly/ blindly
Not only that, but, absurdly, <b>failing</b> to <b>enforce</b> rules itself becomes something <u>punishable</u> (lines 17-19)	E	[IRONY: BEING PUNISHED FOR NOT ENFORCING] (Ridiculously,) neglecting to impose / not imposing rules / disregarding the implementation of rules is (also) something to be penalised
rules just <u>keep</u> being <u>added</u> (line 20) / Planning restrictions, safety regulations and risk assessments that <u>accumulate</u> <u>endlessly</u> (lines 21-22)	F	[ENDLESS/EXCESSIVE ACCUMULATION] Rules continually increase/grow in number / Rules grow/amass without stopping/ ceaselessly  NOTE: Not just lifting "rule-creep"
such that our <u>liberty</u> becomes <u>increasingly</u> <b>curtailed</b> (lines 20-21)	G	[INCREASING LOSS OF FREEDOM] such that our freedom is restricted/limited more and more

may extend far <b>beyond</b> their <u>initial</u> <u>intention</u> (lines 22-23)	Н	[RULES GO BEYOND ORIGINAL INTENT] Rules may greatly exceed their original purpose
[EXAMPLES] Restrictions on renovating ancient buildings can be <u>so</u> stringent that no renovation is feasible and the buildings collapse; environmental assessments for new woodlands can be <u>so</u> severe that tree planting becomes almost impossible; regulations on drug discovery can be <u>so</u> arduous that a potentially valuable medicine is abandoned. (lines 23-27)	I	[VERY STRICT RULES CAN BACKFIRE] Rules can be overly strict/severe they prevent positive action / can lead to negative outcomes / become self-defeating
We can become <u>so</u> <b>focused</b> on sticking to the rules that we <b>ignore</b> their <u>consequences</u> (lines 27-28)	J	[SINGLEMINDED ABOUT FOLLOWING RULES WITHOUT CARING ABOUT OUTCOMES] People can be overly dedicated to / fixated / single-minded about following rules that they disregard/pay no heed to/do not care about the offects/outcomes/impact of this.
Individuals, and societies, face a continual battle over rules (line 29)	K	[CONSTANT CONFLICT] People are always fighting over rules / Rules cause persistent tension between people  NOTE: Not between an individual and the larger society
(but be careful of ) conventions that have no <b>obvious</b> benefit to <u>all</u> (lines 31-32)	L	[DOES NOT BENEFIT EVERYONE] Some rules do not offer evident help to everyone / clearly advantage only some.
especially those that <u>discriminate</u> and <u>condemn</u> (line 32)	M	[RULES ARE UNFAIR] Some rules are <u>unjust</u>

Points	1-2	3-4	5	6	7	8	9	10+
Marks	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

<u>Summary</u>: Rules can be used for subjugation<sup>A</sup> and people impose excessive penalties for breaking rules<sup>C</sup>. Ridiculously, neglecting to impose rules is also something to be penalised<sup>E</sup>. Furthermore, people can also be fanatical about illogical rules<sup>B</sup> and follow them unquestioningly<sup>D</sup>. When rules continually increase<sup>F</sup>, our freedom is restricted more and more<sup>G</sup>. Rules may greatly exceed their original purpose<sup>H</sup> and overly strict rules can become self-defeating<sup>I</sup>. People can be fixated on following rules that they disregard the effects of them<sup>J</sup>. Additionally, people are always fighting over rules<sup>K</sup>. Some rules do not offer evident help to everyone<sup>L</sup> and are even unjust<sup>M</sup>.

8. Passage 1 states that norms and rules 'are the building blocks of a harmonious society' (lines 21-22).

Identify **one** specific idea from Passage 3 which can be used to undermine this statement. Justify your answer. [2]

Pt	From the passage	Suggested answer	Mk
A	(align[ing] themselves with the group) can lead to polarisation which can become extreme. (lines 9- 10)	Passage 3 states that group conformity can result in <b>severe divides</b> between groups (lines 9-10).	1m
В	(justification)	GUIDING PRINCIPLES @  "MAPPING" – e.g. Following rules can lead to disharmony  "INFERRED" – e.g. Following rules can lead to rigidity  This undermines the claim in Passage 1 because when groups in society are diametrically opposed due to their strict adherence to their respective norms and standards, they are unlikely to agree and work well with one another, and cannot create social harmony.	1m

9. Passage 2 states that 'the best advice is mostly to follow rules, but always to ask why' (lines 34-35).

Identify **one** specific idea from Passage 3 which can be used to support this statement. Justify your answer. [2]

Pt	From the passage	Suggested answer	Mk
Α	While there is merit in rules	Passage 3 states that, despite the	1m
	that promote group	benefits of rules in boosting group	
	harmony, we must learn to	unity, we need to develop the habit of	
	challenge the assumptions	questioning the beliefs and mindsets	
	and attitudes that everyone	that most/people simply accept at	
	else takes for granted.	face value (lines 12-13).	
	(lines 12-13)		
В	(justification)	[MAPPING - need to question	1m
		assumptions]	
		This supports the claim in Passage 2	
		because it also emphasises that,	
		while complying with rules does have	
		a place in society, we must be mindful	
		not to obey them blindly / unthinkingly	
		/ without critical thought.	

10. How important is following rules in your society?

Support your answer with reference to:

- the ideas and opinions from at least one of the reading passages
- examples drawn from your own experience and that of your society

### From Passage 1

1) RULES NEE	DED FOR CLEAR COM	MUNICATION
Passage/Para	Author argues that	Possible areas of evaluation
Passage 1,	Lines 3-7	AGREE: Having a common "language" in a
Para 1	"the very words I am	linguistically-varied context can smoothen
	writing now follow the	<u>communication</u>
	rules of English	
	Breaking away from	Examples/Evidence
	the rules of my	• <u>Use of Singlish</u> : Common vocabulary (e.g. "Bojio",
	language would not make me unchained	"Shiok", "Paiseh", "Jialat", "Can!"), discourse
	but would instead	particles (e.g. lah, meh, hor, mah)
	make me incoherent"	Possible EV
	make me meeneren	Even though Singlish may not be considered
		"standard" English and can be "incoherent" when
		used in the wrong contexts (e.g. communication
		in business, media, politics, the law, education),
		its use in informal, everyday settings - its
		systematised rules of grammar and word
		choice that typically emerge in such creole
		languages - helps not just to smoothen
		communication in such contexts but also to
		reinforce belonging, identity, national pride,
		and social bonds. Indeed, a measure of how far
		new citizens feel "one of us" is their proficiency in
		speaking Singlish (especially "correct" use of the
		various discourse particles). One might go so far
		as to say that speaking Singlish contributes as "one of the building blocks of a harmonious
		society" (Passage 1, lines 21-22)
		• Additional EV: Ironically, the genesis and
		development of Singlish also support what Author
		A himself says about how "rules" can generate
		"spontaneously" (line 25), arising "unbidden, from
		the needs of mutually agreeable social and
		economic interactions". It is classified as a creole
		language, a stable, developed "natural"
		language that arises from the blending of two or
		more distinct languages, emerging in contexts
		where speakers of different native languages
		come into prolonged contact and need to
		communicate (e.g. for trade).

2) WHY SCHOO	OL RULES MATTER	
Passage/Para	Author argues that	Possible areas of evaluation
Passage 1, Para 3	Lines 18-19 "the fact that we have such conventions – it is crucial that we agree	AGREE: Having clear rules and conventions is vital for guiding the young in developing pro-social behaviours and attitudes  Examples/Evidence
	what they are – is part of what makes our social interactions run smoothly"	School rules: Attire and grooming; punctuality and attendance; timetabling and use of facilities, etc. – even though students may consider them restrictive, even arbitrary and meaningless
	Lines 23-25 "there has long been an appetite among some people for a less formalised society where individual freedom takes precedence: an anarchy. (But anarchy is inherently unstable)"	<ul> <li>School rules can seem draconian, even unreasonable, to some students, especially those who are in their later teens, the growing years during which there is often a strong desire to challenge and question authority and "the way things should be". However, school is more than just a place where formal education is imparted; it also plays an important role in promoting and reinforcing behaviours and attitudes about group norms. In Singapore, rules enforcing proper uniform and grooming standards start from kindergarten and persist through the preuniversity years, with a range of punishments for lack of compliance. Students who engage in public displays of affection while in uniform also face censure. These examples underline the premium our society places on conformity, with the education setting playing a key role in emphasising to the young that, while they may understandably have personal preferences and desire greater "freedom", these need to be managed in collectivist social contexts where the smooth running of processes that benefit the larger group have priority.</li> <li>Additional EV: A school being "light" on rules can also backfire on students. Without clear rules and firm consequences for breaking them, problems like smoking/vaping, bullying, and sexual misconduct may spiral out of control into the "inherently unstable" state of "anarchy" (lines 24-25) that Author A warns about.</li> </ul>

3) RULES NEE	DED FOR HARMONIO	US LIVING
Passage/Para	Author argues that	Possible areas of evaluation
Passage 1,	Lines 19-22	AGREE: Rules (and laws) are put into place to
Para 3	, ,	safeguard socially-accepted behaviours and
		develop socially-considerate citizens.
Para 3	<u> </u>	<ul> <li><u>Examples/Evidence</u></li> <li><u>Public Order &amp; Nuisance Act</u></li> <li><u>The Liquor Control (Supply and Consumption) Act</u></li> <li><u>Possible EV</u></li> <li>In densely-populated Singapore, for example, nudity even at home (let alone in public) is illegal when visible to non-consenting individuals. This ensures that our behaviour does not infringe upon other people's sense of decency while in <i>their</i> homes. Even socially inconsiderate acts like playing music too loudly at night, can result in police involvement as it disrupts the peace of our living environment.</li> <li>Since Jan 2016, drinking in public and the sale</li> </ul>
		of takeaway alcohol is prohibited between 10.30pm – 7am. Furthermore, Geylang and Little India have been designated as Liquor Control Zones – places with a higher risk of public disorder associated with excessive drinking – in order to manage public safety. While seemingly draconian, these restrictions on individual behaviour/freedoms have resulted in a generally low crime rate and a feeling of safety even when out late at night – which is a trade-off that Singaporeans are willing to accept.

4) RULES NEE	DED TO MINIMISE PAN	NIC AND CHAOS
Passage/Para	Author argues that	Possible areas of evaluation
Passage 1,	Lines 42-43	<b>AGREE</b> : The need for clear rules – and for people
Para 7	"without some rules –	to adhere to them - is especially crucial in times of
	and some tendency	crisis, chaos, or confusion.
	for us to stick to them	
	<ul> <li>society would slide</li> </ul>	Examples/Evidence
	rapidly into	• Management of Covid-19: Firm rules set by the
	pandemonium"	government and communicated to all, with
		punishment (both legal and social) for those who
		flouted them.
		Descible EV
		Possible EV
		<ul> <li>The Singapore government's firm, proactive, comprehensive, and ultimately effective</li> </ul>
		management of the Covid-19 outbreak underlines
		the need for setting and following clear rules
		during a highly challening and stressful
		<b>period</b> . Mandated procedures for temperature
		screening, social distancing, "circuit breaker",
		quarantine, and the use of TraceTogether helped
		to minimise confusion and panic as well as the
		number of infections and fatalities. Individuals
		who chose not to abide by these faced legal
		censure as well as intense online public vitriol
		(such as self-declared "sovereign" Paramjeet
		Kaur, who blatantly flouted the mask-wearing rule
		in 2021, and 97 KTV enthusiasts who breached
		safe-distancing edicts in 2022), underlined not
		just the need to follow rules but the high premium that both state and citizens placed
		on such adherence in a worrying, chaotic time,
		so as not to further the slide in mass panic.
		• Additional EV: Even though the Singapore
		government set strict rules for Covid-19
		management, it also <b>showed flexibility in</b>
		adjusting the limitations, lowering the
		DORSCON level and gradually scaling back on
		restrictions in the recognition that continued stiff
		regulations would hamper business, education,
		and other crucial contributors to a thriving state.
		This aligns with Author B's warning that we should
		not "become so focused on sticking to rules that
		we ignore the consequences" (lines 27-28),
		underlining that the Singapore government is
		able to adapt rules to changing contexts.

5) RULES UND	ERPINNING IMPORTA	NT INSTITUTIONS
		Possible areas of evaluation
5) RULES UND Passage/Para Passage 1, Para 7	ERPINNING IMPORTA Author argues that Lines 44-45 "our tendency to create, stick to, and enforce rules [can be seen as the very foundation of social and economic life"	
		crisis. While the only true test of our armed forces would be in actual warfare, the fact

Anyone found guilty of non-compliance could be fined up to \$5,000 or jailed for a maximum period of 12 months,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Offenders who do not comply with any NS Notices or Reporting Orders under the Enlistment Act face a fine not exceeding \$10,000 or imprisonment for a term not exceeding three years, or both.

# From Passages 2&3

6) FOLLOWING	RULES BLINDLY	
Passage/Para	Author argues that	Possible areas of evaluation
Passage 2, Para 1	Line 5 "we simply absorb "the way we do things around here""	DISGREE: While Singaporeans are seen to be compliant and obedient, we still question norms and rules in a way that is constructive and respectful.
OR Passage 2, Para 1  OR Passage 3, Para 2	Lines 15-16 "the rules must be obeyed, just because they are the rules."  OR  Lines 3-5 "the problem is that there is also a powerful force to conform to the rules, customs, ideas, and standards of the group"	Examples/Evidence  Repeal of Section 377A: After years of campaigning by advocacy groups such as Pink Dot SG, Section 377A was repealed in 2022.  Possible EV  On the surface, it might appear that Singaporeans follow rules unthinkingly. After all, conformity and respect for authority are deeply ingrained in our culture. In addition, questioning rules seems difficult in Singapore, with indefinable out-of-bound (OB) markers making it hard to know what exactly is permissible for public discussion. Yet, it is perhaps precisely because of this socio-political context that many activists have found it more effective to question rules by avoiding confrontational language and collaborating with the authorities, as seen from examples such as Pink Dot SG. Pink Dot SG actively frames its pride event as a family-centred event and work with celebrity ambassadors such popular singer Nathan Hartono, Paralympian Theresa Goh, and former Nominated member of Parliament Janice Koh to reach out to ordinary Singaporeans. In 2023, politicians from the ruling party and opposition parties also attended Pink Dot to support the cause. Singaporeans might not look like they are challenging rules and might even look like
		they are following rules blindly. However, there is in fact a concerted effort made to
		question, and change rules, in a way that is
		amiable and constructive.

7) RULES (arbi	trary/lacking justificat	ion) USED FOR REPRESSION / CONTROL
Passage/Para	Author argues that	Possible areas of evaluation
Passage 2, Para 2	Lines 6-9 "Humans have a powerful sense of wanting to enforce, sometimes oppressive, patterns of behaviour irrespective of their justification."	AGREE: Singapore has consistently ranked low in various "freedom" indices, with its legislation has often coming under criticism for being overly repressive, especially of freedoms that may be considered "fundamental"  Examples/Evidence  Freedom of expression: Legislation such as POFMA and FICA.  Freedom of assembly (and expression): Control of
<u>OR</u>	<u>OR</u>	who can speak and what can be said at "Speakers' Corner" in Hong Lim Park.
Passage 2, Para 3	Lines 14-17 "Political ideologuesoften mete out such retribution [based on "arbitrary rules" (line 13)] – but so do repressive states: the rules must be obeyed, just because they are the rules."	<ul> <li>Possible EV</li> <li>While such legislation is ostensibly meant to preserve peace and harmony and combat the rising scourge of online falsehoods (POFMA) and the threat of unfriendly foreign intervention (FICA), they arguably give the state too much control over with their broad definition of terms that give the state excessive power of interpretation to limit what citizens and the media can say and to exact punishment for what the state deems as "violations" of said broad terms. Needing a police permit to "protest" at Speakers' Corner – with state-determined OB markers regulating what one's 'protest' can and cannot say – arguably makes a mockery of freedom of both assembly and speech.</li> <li>Impact: The outcome is being shackled by rules that, while perhaps not completely arbitrary or lacking justification, nonetheless has led to citizens who are unwilling, afraid, or unable (due to lack of opportunity for and exposure) to sensibly expressing views that may actually help improve our country. Paradoxically – or perhaps intentionally – such strict rules prevent people from challenging such strict rules.</li> </ul>

8) RULES THA	T BACKFIRE	
Passage/Para	Author argues that	Possible areas of evaluation
Passage 2, Para 4	Lines 27-28 "we can become so focused on sticking to the rules that we ignore their consequences"	AGREE: The Singapore government's technocratic "problem-solving" approach has led to policies that appear to make sense but ultimately may undermine the very reason for having the policies in the first place.  Examples/Evidence
		<ul> <li>COE – Certificate of Entitlement (1990 till now):         Ostensibly to control vehicle population, lower environmental impacts of vehicle emissions</li> <li>SimplyGo (2024): Proposed transition to public transport payment</li> <li>Possible EV</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Making rules without fully factoring (or worse, simply ignoring) the realities of the people impacted can lead to damaging situations that cannot be reversed or costly about-turns that can undermine the public's confidence in the state's decision making:</li> </ul>
		• The COE system, meant to control the number of vehicles on the road, has led to exorbitant car ownership costs. The bidding system has led to wide fluctuations of COE prices that have made car ownership prohibitively expensive, which can be particularly burdensome on middle- or lower-income families. Ironically, given the high COE prices across all categories, some who are more affluent may decide to purchase a high-prestige car with larger engine capacity that are actually more pollutive, defeating one of the goals of the system.
		The SimplyGo fiasco and reversal following widespread criticism from end-users underline how disastrous it can be to be "so focused" on rolling out a system that the overseeing authority "ignore[d] the consequences" (lines 27-28) that were painfully obvious to those who would have been most affected but were not consulted. On top of the
		backlash, a further – and wasteful – expenditure of \$40 million will be needed to allow commuters to use existing payment methods till 2030 – a costly misstep in more than one way.

9) RULES THA	T ARE CONSIDERED I	EXCESSIVE & UNFAIR
Passage/Para	Author argues that	Possible areas of evaluation
Passage 2, Para 5	Lines 31-32 "be careful of conventions that have no obvious benefit to all, especially those that discriminate and condemn"	DISAGREE: Singapore government has responded to criticism of laws that have long been deemed overly harsh or grossly unfair      Examples/Evidence     Single, unwed parents: Revision of laws to be more inclusive and attentive to their needs and those of their children
OR Passage 3, Para 3	Lines 12-13 "we must learn to challenge the assumptions and attitudes that everyone else takes for granted"	• For years, single, unwed parents have faced policy-based discrimination in areas such as housing, tax benefits, child development support, and parental leave, adding institutional judgement to the moral criticism and social stigma they may already face. Thankfully, through the persistent lobbying of MPs such as Louis Ng (Nee Soon GRC), the state has moved to redress some of the unfairness. In 2016, the MSF extended Child Development Account benefits to children of single unwed parents. The following year, single mothers were given the same 16 weeks of paid maternity leave as their married counterparts. Housing policies have also been relaxed, with single parents now eligible for public housing under the "Public Rental Scheme" and having access to the "Assistance Scheme for Second-Timers" (ASSIST) if they are divorced or widowed, which provides priority in housing allocations. These shifts, against the backdrop of the state narrative that continues to uphold the "traditional family" as the desired model, clearly illustrate that the Singapore government is willing not just to acknowledge changing mores and sentiment but also to take clear action to revise rules that are unfair and inequitable.