# 4E5N Prelim Section A Suggested Answer (St Gabriels)

Study Source A.

1

Why was this infographic published? Explain your answer using details from the [6] source.

Suggested Answer	Success Criteria
Take note: Good answers should link to context of minimal recycling rates	
mentioned in BI.	
The infographic was published because the government wants to convince (P) Singaporeans (A) that recycling efforts are not desirable (M) so that they might feel guilty (F) and decide to put in effort to lower waste generation in and out of home (A). This is because despite the minimal improvements in recycling rates, Singapore still generated slight more waste in 2022 than in 2021. (S) This is seen from the poster which shows that there is an increase in waste generated over a period of 3 years for both domestic and non- domestic waste, implying that Singaporeans need to put in more effort.	<ul> <li>Because</li> <li>Powerful verb</li> <li>Audience</li> <li>Message</li> <li>Feelings</li> <li>Action</li> <li>Situation</li> <li>Evi + Exp</li> </ul>

# 2 Study Sources B and C.

How far does the speaker in Source B agree with the Minister in Source C? Explain your answer.

Suggested Answer		Success Criteria
Note:		
- Answers using similar / different $ ightarrow$ 0		
- When disagreeing / refuting, always use opposite meaning words		
The speaker in B would agree (A) with the Minister in C on Singaporeans'	٠	ATQ
irresponsible recycling behaviour <b>(CC)</b> .Source B asserts that "people do		
not bother to check what can be recycled and what cannot." (Evi) This	•	Common Criteria
highlights the issue of people's indifference towards understanding the	•	Comparison word
guidelines for proper recycling and suggests a lack of awareness or		
motivation to engage in responsible recycling practices, contributing to	•	Evidence x2
the problem. <b>(Exp)</b> Similarly (CW) In Source C, the Minister notes that "those who try to recycle do it wrongly by throwing the e-waste into the		1 best line
general recycling bins." (Evi) Source C's statement underscores the		
problem of individuals who make an effort to recycle but may not be	٠	Explanation x2
adequately informed about how to recycle responsibly, worsening the		
challenge of effective recycling in Singapore. <b>(Exp)</b>		
The speaker in Source B would disagree (A) with the Minister in Source C	•	ATQ
in terms of the reasons behind ineffective recycling efforts in Singapore.		
Source B suggests that ineffective recycling takes place because of a	•	Common Criteria
lack of concern for the environment but Source C suggests that _		Comparison word
ineffective recycling takes place because of a lack of knowledge. (CC)	•	Comparison word
Source B argues that, "the issue is that people do not bother to check	٠	Evidence x2

[7]

<ul> <li>Individuals have an indifferent attitude towards recycling and will choose the easy way out, thus, recycling efforts are ineffective (Exp). In contrast (CW), Source C says, "most people do want to recycle but are let down by their own laziness." (Evi) Source C suggests that ineffective recycling takes place because of individuals' inertia or reluctance to take the necessary actions, resulting in ineffective recycling. (Exp)</li> <li>Using the position and context of creators of the sources. (L5/7)</li> <li>The speaker in B would agree with the Minister in C because they would both aim to increase recycling efforts in Singapore (ATQ + LOR). Source B was an interview with Ms Robin Rheaume, who advocates for the environment and educates Singaporeans on reducing waste and to recycle (WHO). In the source, she emphasises that Singaporeans' lack of concern for the environment renders campaigns and recycling bins ineffective in a bid to cause guilt amongst Singaporeans and to rally them to start putting in effort to sort their trash for effective recycling (WHAT + WHY). With a similar aim in mind (CW), the Minister in C who</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Explanation X2</li> <li>(CW), Source C says, "most people do want to recycle but are let down by their own laziness." (Evi) Source C suggests that ineffective recycling takes place because of individuals' inertia or reluctance to take the necessary actions, resulting in ineffective recycling. (Exp)</li> <li>Using the position and context of creators of the sources. (L5/7)</li> <li>The speaker in B would agree with the Minister in C because they would both aim to increase recycling efforts in Singapore (ATQ + LOR). Source B was an interview with Ms Robin Rheaume, who advocates for the environment and educates Singaporeans on reducing waste and to recycle (WHO). In the source, she emphasises that Singaporeans' lack of concern for the environment renders campaigns and recycling bins neffective in a bid to cause guilt amongst Singaporeans and to rally them to start putting in effort to sort their trash for effective recycling (WHAT + WHY). With a similar aim in mind (CW), the Minister in C who</li> </ul>	what can be recycled and what cannot." (Evi) Source B implies that		1 best line
the easy way out, thus, recycling efforts are ineffective (Exp). In contrast (CW), Source C says, "most people do want to recycle but are let down by their own laziness." (Evi) Source C suggests that ineffective recycling takes place because of individuals' inertia or reluctance to take the necessary actions, resulting in ineffective recycling. (Exp) Using the position and context of creators of the sources. (L5/7) The speaker in B would agree with the Minister in C because they would both aim to increase recycling efforts in Singapore (ATQ + LOR). Source B was an interview with Ms Robin Rheaume, who advocates for the environment and educates Singaporeans on reducing waste and to recycle (WHO). In the source, she emphasises that Singaporeans' lack of concern for the environment renders campaigns and recycling bins neffective in a bid to cause guilt amongst Singaporeans and to rally them to start putting in effort to sort their trash for effective recycling (WHAT + WHY). With a similar aim in mind (CW), the Minister in C who	individuals have an indifferent attitude towards recycling and will choose	•	Explanation x2
by their own laziness." (Evi) Source C suggests that ineffective recycling takes place because of individuals' inertia or reluctance to take the necessary actions, resulting in ineffective recycling. (Exp) Using the position and context of creators of the sources. (L5/7) The speaker in B would agree with the Minister in C because they would both aim to increase recycling efforts in Singapore (ATQ + LOR). Source B was an interview with Ms Robin Rheaume, who advocates for the environment and educates Singaporeans on reducing waste and to recycle (WHO). In the source, she emphasises that Singaporeans' lack of concern for the environment renders campaigns and recycling bins ineffective in a bid to cause guilt amongst Singaporeans and to rally them to start putting in effort to sort their trash for effective recycling (WHAT + WHY). With a similar aim in mind (CW), the Minister in C who	the easy way out, thus, recycling efforts are ineffective <b>(Exp)</b> . In contrast		
<ul> <li>takes place because of individuals' inertia or reluctance to take the necessary actions, resulting in ineffective recycling. (Exp)</li> <li>Using the position and context of creators of the sources. (L5/7)</li> <li>The speaker in B would agree with the Minister in C because they would both aim to increase recycling efforts in Singapore (ATQ + LOR). Source B was an interview with Ms Robin Rheaume, who advocates for the environment and educates Singaporeans on reducing waste and to recycle (WHO). In the source, she emphasises that Singaporeans' lack of concern for the environment renders campaigns and recycling bins neffective in a bid to cause guilt amongst Singaporeans and to rally them to start putting in effort to sort their trash for effective recycling (WHAT + WHY). With a similar aim in mind (CW), the Minister in C who</li> </ul>	(CW), Source C says, "most people do want to recycle but are let down		
<ul> <li>ATQ</li> <li>ATQ</li> <li>PAMFASEE of C</li> <li>was an interview with Ms Robin Rheaume, who advocates for the environment and educates Singaporeans on reducing waste and to recycle (WHO). In the source, she emphasises that Singaporeans' lack of concern for the environment renders campaigns and recycling bins neffective in a bid to cause guilt amongst Singaporeans and to rally them to start putting in effort to sort their trash for effective recycling consideration:</li> </ul>	by their own laziness." (Evi) Source C suggests that ineffective recycling		
<ul> <li>Using the position and context of creators of the sources. (L5/7)</li> <li>The speaker in B would agree with the Minister in C because they would both aim to increase recycling efforts in Singapore (ATQ + LOR). Source B was an interview with Ms Robin Rheaume, who advocates for the environment and educates Singaporeans on reducing waste and to recycle (WHO). In the source, she emphasises that Singaporeans' lack of concern for the environment renders campaigns and recycling bins in effective in a bid to cause guilt amongst Singaporeans and to rally them to start putting in effort to sort their trash for effective recycling (WHAT + WHY). With a similar aim in mind (CW), the Minister in C who</li> <li>ATQ</li> <li>PAMFASEE of C</li> <li>PAMFASEE of C</li> <li>Explanation of why Minister will not agree with Author of B, taking into consideration:</li> </ul>	takes place because of individuals' inertia or reluctance to take the		
The speaker in B would agree with the Minister in C because they would both aim to increase recycling efforts in Singapore (ATQ + LOR). Source B was an interview with Ms Robin Rheaume, who advocates for the environment and educates Singaporeans on reducing waste and to recycle (WHO). In the source, she emphasises that Singaporeans' lack of concern for the environment renders campaigns and recycling bins ineffective in a bid to cause guilt amongst Singaporeans and to rally them to start putting in effort to sort their trash for effective recycling (WHAT + WHY). With a similar aim in mind (CW), the Minister in C who	necessary actions, resulting in ineffective recycling. (Exp)		
<ul> <li>PAMFASEE of C</li> <li>PAMFASEE of C</li> <li>environment and educates Singaporeans on reducing waste and to recycle (WHO). In the source, she emphasises that Singaporeans' lack of concern for the environment renders campaigns and recycling bins in effective in a bid to cause guilt amongst Singaporeans and to rally them to start putting in effort to sort their trash for effective recycling (WHAT + WHY). With a similar aim in mind (CW), the Minister in C who</li> <li>PAMFASEE of C</li> <li>Explanation of why Minister in C who</li> </ul>	Using the position and context of creators of the sources. (L5/7)	•	ATQ
<ul> <li>was an interview with Ms Robin Rheaume, who advocates for the environment and educates Singaporeans on reducing waste and to recycle (WHO). In the source, she emphasises that Singaporeans' lack of concern for the environment renders campaigns and recycling bins in effective in a bid to cause guilt amongst Singaporeans and to rally them to start putting in effort to sort their trash for effective recycling (WHAT + WHY). With a similar aim in mind (CW), the Minister in C who</li> </ul>	The speaker in B would agree with the Minister in C because they would		
<ul> <li>Explanation of why</li> <li>Explanation of why</li> <li>Minister will not agree</li> <li>with Author of B,</li> <li>taking into</li> <li>(WHAT + WHY). With a similar aim in mind (CW), the Minister in C who</li> </ul>	both aim to increase recycling efforts in Singapore (ATQ + LOR). Source B	•	PAMFASEE of C
Minister will not agree with Author of B, ineffective in a bid to cause guilt amongst Singaporeans and to rally them to start putting in effort to sort their trash for effective recycling (WHAT + WHY). With a similar aim in mind (CW), the Minister in C who	was an interview with Ms Robin Rheaume, who advocates for the		Frankright and frankright
concern for the environment renders campaigns and recycling bins ineffective in a bid to cause guilt amongst Singaporeans and to rally them to start putting in effort to sort their trash for effective recycling (WHAT + WHY). With a similar aim in mind (CW), the Minister in C who	environment and educates Singaporeans on reducing waste and to	•	Explanation of why
ineffective in a bid to cause guilt amongst Singaporeans and to rally them to start putting in effort to sort their trash for effective recycling (WHAT + WHY). With a similar aim in mind (CW), the Minister in C who	recycle (WHO). In the source, she emphasises that Singaporeans' lack of		Minister will not agree
them to start putting in effort to sort their trash for effective recyclingtaking into(WHAT + WHY). With a similar aim in mind (CW), the Minister in C whoconsideration:	concern for the environment renders campaigns and recycling bins		with Author of B,
(WHAT + WHY). With a similar aim in mind (CW), the Minister in C who consideration:	ineffective in a bid to cause guilt amongst Singaporeans and to rally		taking into
	them to start putting in effort to sort their trash for effective recycling		-
would want to ensure that Sinaapore's environmental policies are	(WHAT + WHY). With a similar aim in mind (CW), the Minister in C who		consideration:
	would want to ensure that Singapore's environmental policies are		-What is said
sustainable for our development while meeting peoples' needs (WHO)	sustainable for our development while meeting peoples' needs (WHO)		- Where is said
addresses Singaporeans and emphasises the problem that ineffective	addresses Singaporeans and emphasises the problem that ineffective		
recycling causes, hoping to get Singaporeans to learn more about -Who is he addressing	recycling causes, hoping to get Singaporeans t <b>o learn more about</b>		-Who is he addressing
recycling and carry out effective recycling with their electronic waste	recycling and carry out effective recycling with their electronic waste		
(WHAT + WHY). Given the context of the sources, where recycling rate	(WHAT + WHY). Given the context of the sources, where recycling rate		
has increased minimally over the past few years, it is <b>thus natural that both</b>	has increased minimally over the past few years, it is thus natural that both		
the speaker and the minister would agree on getting Singaporeans to	the speaker and the minister would agree on getting Singaporeans to		
start developing effective recycling habits to ensure that waste	start developing effective recycling habits to ensure that waste		
generation is kept to a low. (Expf of WHY they would be similar)	aeneration is kept to a low. (Expf of WHY they would be similar)		

**3** Study Source D.

Is the source reliable as evidence about recycling efforts in Singapore? [6] Explain your answer.

Suggested Answer		Success Criteria
Source D is reliable as evidence that recycling efforts in Singapore are	٠	ATQ + LOR
ineffective (ATQ) because Source C it (LOR). Both (CW) sources suggest		
that recycling efforts in Singapore are ineffective (CC). Source D states	•	Common Criteria
that, "throwing all the waste down the chute in the comfort of their own		
homes is much easier than separating the recyclables from general	•	Comparison word
waste and then going through the hassle of heading downstairs to the		
blue recycling bins." (Evi) This shows that many prefer the easier option of	•	Evidence x2
disposing of all waste together rather than making the effort to separate		1 best line

MARK SCHEME		
recyclables, leading to poor recycling efforts (Exp). Similarly (CW), Source C says "those who attempt to recycle, 34.1 percent do it wrongly, by throwing the e-waste into the general recycling bins". (Evi) This suggests that people's improper recycling behaviours are driven by a lack of awareness or effort, and thus, keep recycling efforts ineffective in Singapore (Exp).	•	Explanation x2
Source D is not reliable as evidence that recycling efforts in Singapore are ineffective (ATQ) because Source F refutes it (LOR). Source D	•	ATQ + LOR Common Criteria
suggests that recycling efforts in Singapore are ineffective but Source F suggests that recycling efforts in Singapore have been effective <b>(CC)</b> .	•	
Source D states that, "throwing all the waste down the chute in the comfort of their own homes is much easier than separating the	•	Comparison word
recyclables from general waste and then going through the hassle of	•	Evidence
heading downstairs to the blue recycling bins." <b>(Evi)</b> This shows that many prefer the easier option of disposing of all waste together rather than	•	1 best line Explanation
making the effort to separate recyclables, leading to poor recycling efforts (Exp). However (CW), Source F states "To date, more than 10,000 kg of used shoes have been recycled for use in building infrastructure" which suggests that recycling efforts have been put to good use and are effective (Exp).	•	

4. Study Source E.

Are you surprised by what Source E says? Explain your answer.

Suggested Answer		Success Criteria
Note:		
- Para 1: Xref of E		
- Para 2: Highest level - 4Ws + 3Vs		
XREF of E	•	ATQ + LOR
I am not surprised by E which said that recycling efforts are ineffective		
(ATQ + LOR), as it is expected as it is supported by Source B (LOR). In	•	Common Criteria
Source E, the photograph and extract depicts racks of shoes that are still		
in good condition being thrown for recycling, but instead had gone to	•	Comparison word
be sold as second hair items. (EVI) This suggests that recycling efforts		Fuidan a co
were not successful as these items are available for purchase by	•	Evidence x2
consumers again, and potentially could become waste. (EXP) Similarly,		1 best line
(CW) in Source B, it states "We are constantly buying stuff, and the		
knock-on effect is that people throw out stuff that is perfectly good."	٠	Explanation x2
(EVI) which suggests that many people are not successful in recycling		
items but choose to purchase items and throw them away quickly		
without consideration for waste generation. <b>(EXP)</b> Thus, E is not surprising.		
OR		

[6]

MARKOOTEME	1	1
I am surprised by E which suggests that recycling efforts are ineffective		
(ATQ + LOR) as it is unexpected and refuted by Source F (LOR). In		
Source E, the photograph and extract depicts racks of shoes that are still		
in good condition being thrown for recycling, but instead had gone to		
be sold as second hair items. (EVI) This suggests that recycling efforts		
were not successful as these items are available for purchase by		
consumers again, and potentially could become waste. (EXP) However,		
Source F shows "to date, more than 10,000 kg of used shoes have been		
recycled for use in building infrastructure" (EVI) which suggests that the		
shoes were successfully recycled and repurposed well. (EXP)		
Possible highest levels have to include the holistic use of provenance,	•	ATQ + LOR
context and content		
	•	Details from
Highest level: Explained provenance (4Ws + 3Vs)		provenance/source
I am not surprised by E which says that recycling efforts are ineffective		- Who
(ATQ) because it was published by Reuters which is an independent		_
news platform (WHO) at a time where the Singapore government has		- What
been intensifying recycling efforts and opportunities to increase the		- When
recycling rates in Singapore (WHEN). Being an independent organisation		- Why
not affiliated to any government ministries or environment related		Explanation of the
organisations/businesses, it was natural $(V)$ for the news platform to	•	-
expose the state of donated shoes in Batam, where they were		4Ws make the
improperly recycled to become goods for sale instead (WHAT) so that		source expected
the government or organisations in charge of collecting recycled shoes		
can take responsibility and ensure that future shoes donated will be		
recycled properly (WHY). It is further expected (V) of Reuters to		
whistleblow given that it has a high readership and wide reach, and it		
will seek to draw attention to controversial topics that are relevant to its		
readers to ensure that their credibility as a news platform is maintained		
(Exp of why it is expected). As such, it is natural (V) that Reuters will		
publish to suggest that recycling efforts have been ineffective.		

5. 'The Singapore government is responsible for managing the waste problem in the [10] country.'

Using the sources in the case study, how far do you agree with the statement?

Suggested Answer		Success Criteria
Agree: A, B, F Disagree: A (Companies), B (Individuals), C (Individuals), D (Individuals), E (Companies/Organisations), F (Companies/Organisations)		
I agree (ATQ) as Source A agrees with the statement that the SG govt (W) is responsible. This is seen from the source which depicted that the	•	ATQ
amount of recyclables collected under the National Recycling Programme in 2022 remained stable as compared to 2021 (B). The	•	Who
government is responsible because only they have the resources to	•	Best evidence 1 line
execute a nationwide recycling programme that collects wastes from all aspects of society for recycling (R). By doing so, the programme will ensure that suitable infrastructures are put in place for recycling (S1) $\rightarrow$	•	Reason
people will continue to utilise these infrastructure to recycle (S2) $\rightarrow$ reducing waste in time (Link)		Sequence of outcomes <mark>beyond</mark> source (S1→ S2→S3)
I disagree (ATQ) as Source C disagrees with the statement. C suggest that individuals (W) are responsible for managing the waste problem instead. This is seen from C which states "Of those who attempt to	•	ATQ
recycle, 34.1 per cent do it wrongly, by throwing the e-waste into the general recycling bins." (B) Individuals are responsible because they have the choice to learn about e-waste recycling instead of	•	Best evidence 1 line
contaminating recyclables <b>(R).</b> When they do so, they will have awareness that e-waste when incinerated releases toxic that cannot be	•	Reason
repurposed (S1) $\rightarrow$ sort e-waste from regular waste for recycling (S2) $\rightarrow$ Utilise proper e-waste recycling points (S3) $\rightarrow$ Reducing chances of contamination of recycled items (S4) and support recycling efforts in SG (Link)	•	Sequence of outcomes <mark>beyond</mark> source (S1→ S2→S3)
I agree (A) as Source F agrees with the statement that the government (W) is responsible for managing waste. From F, it states that "We will also be taking further steps to tighten up the process chain based on our	•	ATQ Who
learning from this incident." <b>(B).</b> The government is responsible because only they have the authority to enforce good practices and condone poor recycling partners by terminating contracts when results are	•	Best evidence 1 line
undesirable ( <b>R</b> ). As such, this will ensure that the government ties up with only responsible partners (S1) $\rightarrow$ Recycling will be done properly (S2) $\rightarrow$	•	Reason

MARK SCHEME		
Encouraging Singaporeans to continue to recycle when they realise the	٠	Sequence of
meaning of this initiative (S3) and thus, reduce waste (Link)		outcomes <mark>beyond</mark>
		source
		<mark>(\$1→ \$2→\$3)</mark>
I disagree (A) as Source B disagrees and suggests that it is Singaporeans' (W) responsibility to manage the waste problem instead. This is seen from	•	ATQ
the source "We are constantly buying stuff, and the knock-on effect is	•	Who
that people throw out stuff that is perfectly good." (B) which suggests that they are responsible because they have the choice to stop	•	Best evidence
consuming more products and contributing to waste but to prolong the		1 line
lifespan of products instead (R). By doing so, individuals will look for wats to prolong the lifespan of their items (S1) $\rightarrow$ this will ensure that items are	٠	Reason
passed around or reused (S2) $\rightarrow$ waste generation kept low (Link)	•	Sequence of
		outcomes <mark>beyond</mark>
		source
		<mark>(\$1→ \$2→\$3)</mark>
Possible bonus must be tagged to specific sources Note: every bonus attempt <b>must be linked to a source</b> , and not writing about recycling in general. - <b>Contextual Knowledge</b> C can be used to agree with the claim that individuals are responsible for managing waste problems in Singpaore. For example, countries like Japan and the region of Scandinavia are known for their recycling efforts. Japanese people tend to bag their own rubbish outside and only sort them into different recycling bins when they get home. On certain days, they bring their sorted recyclable items down to the nearby recycling centres depending on which material is being collected that day. Similarly, in Scandinavia, they have a culture of sorting their trash at home, before bringing it down to their neighborhood recycling point. These cultures of recycling depend on the individuals' efforts and if Singaporeans could try to emulate these positive habits, then it will surely help to manage the waste problem in Singapore, agreeing with the claim.		
- <b>RELIABILITY/SUFFICIENCY/UTILITY</b> B can be used to disagree with the claim as it is reliable to prove that individuals have generated lots of waste, and thus should be responsible for managing waste problem in Singapore. Even though the author is not Singaporean, she has lived here for 30 years, which is long enough to observe the habits of her local neighbours. Furthermore, given that she is the administrator of several facebook group, she would have come across many Singaporeans' experiences while she attempts to educate them on recycling. As such, she would be a reliable source to point out the hindrance that Singaporeasn feel and the improper waste disposal		

MARK SCHEME	
actions done by Singaporeans, and can be reliable in disagreeing with the claim.	
- <b>Balanced Conclusion/ resolution</b> It isn't just a summary of whether strategies were useful or not but a CONNECTION needs to be made between both sides and if resolution given, again it should be specific about what can be done and by who.	
I disagree with the claim, as I think that everyone in society has a role to play in managing waste in Singapore. If people could just pay considerable attention to what the government is trying to do mentioned in A and leverage on the recycling programme, or just be more responsible about the waste they are generating daily such as mentioned in Source B and C, be it their shopping, plastic usage or food wastage, it would help to result in a lot less waste accumulated everyday. At the same time, the government needs to continue providing the facilities such as recycling and collection bins mentioned in A so that people will gradually develop the habit of recycling. At the same time, the government needs to ensure that recycling efforts are complete till the end as highlighted in F to encourage Singaporeans to continue recycling instead of feeling that their efforts would go to waste. When everyone is able to see the long-term effects of recycling such as reducing the effects of climate change, then they will be motivated to put in more effort in managing their waste.	

# Section B

**6** Extract 1 shows the Singapore government's annual healthcare expenditure from [7] 2010 to 2020.

In your opinion, why do you think the Singapore government increased its expenditure on healthcare? Explain your answer with reference to two reasons.

3	Explains ONE OR TWO REASONS	5 - 7
	Award 5 – 6 marks for 1 REASON explained	
	Award 6 – 7 marks for 2 REASONS explained	
	Note: Accept feasible, logical REASON	
	*Answer should be phrased as a REASON	
	Because Singapore has been experiencing an aging population <b>(D)</b> . As people live longer, there is a greater demand for healthcare services,	

especially for the elderly who often require more medical attention and longterm care. For example, to meet the growing demand, expansions to our healthcare system include additional 3,600 day care places, 2,600 home care places and 3,700 nursing home beds since 2015 to cater to seniors' healthcare needs (Eg with min. 2 details). As such, to be able to provide for these infrastructure, the cost of operations would increase (O1) and additional manpower would also be needed to facilitate the elderly usage (O2), leading to an increased expenditure on healthcare to target the needs of the aging population (Link).

The government could have increased healthcare expenditure due to the rising subsidies provided for elderly to cope with increasing costs of healthcare services and medicine (D). To ensure that healthcare remains accessible and affordable for its citizens, the government may allocate more funds to subsidize healthcare services, for example, in the Assurance Package 2023, Singaporeans aged 20 or below, or 55 years and above would receive \$150 top ups into their Medisave to cope with increasing costs (Eg with min. 2 details). By doing so, the government could offset the increase in price (O1) which makes Singaporeans less deterred to seek medical treatment (O2) and thus, the government could have increased expenditure on healthcare to target the rising cost of medication (Link)

7 Extracts 2 and 3 describe two challenges of a diverse society like Singapore: prejudice and competition for resources.

Do you think prejudice is a bigger challenge than competition for resources in a diverse society like Singapore? Explain your answer.

3	Explains factors	DEOL
	Award 5 – 6 marks for explaining one factor	
	Award 6 – 7 marks for explaining second factor	Eg needs
	Prejudice can arise when there are misunderstandings and conflicting views in a diverse society. Such misunderstandings could be perpetuated	to specific
		Name + 2
	by having negative generalized thoughts or stereotypes of different groups of people in society. (D) Stereotypes cause people to become prejudiced against specific groups of people in society. For example, the denial of rental accommodation based on their races and nationalities to some foreigners in Singapore has led to great inconvenience for them in their search for an accommodation in Singapore that would allow them to live and work here (Eg). Allowing stereotypes and prejudice to guide one's actions in a diverse society is especially harmful as it can lead to acts of discrimination towards (O1) foreigners by Singaporeans. This can then lead to the foreigners becoming unhappy as they feel disrespected by Singaporeans (O2). This will in turn cause tensions (O3) and conflicts to arise in a diverse society to progress as a country due to it being fragmented and disunited (O5). Consequently, the social stability of Singapore is negatively affected (O6). Competition in employment is also a commonly cited challenge in a diverse society like Singapore. As more foreigners enter Singapore's workforce, there is a constant fear among Singaporeans that they would	Name + 2 details
	have to compete for jobs with these foreigners, especially for white- collared jobs. Foreigners are able to work in Singapore on a valid Employment Pass (salaries $\geq$ \$5000 for most sectors, $\geq$ \$5500 for finance sectors) or S Pass ( $\geq$ \$3150), and would most likely be holding a white- collared PMET (Professionals, Managers, Executives and Technicians) job. As such, some Singaporeans feel that employers would rather hire foreign workers on S Passes as they require a lower salary as compared to a Singaporean with similar qualifications (O1). Singaporeans continue to express their frustration and resentment (O2) over immigration in Singapore and its impact on their jobs. When the competition for employment opportunities is at play, Singaporeans would naturally feel upset as their livelihoods are threatened. This pent-up frustration and resentment leads to rising tensions and conflicts in society (O3) which then results in social instability. (O4)	
4	L3 – 2 factors explained + Weighs factors	8
-	$rac{1}{2}$	U
	Accept any conclusions that are valid.	
	I think that prejudice is a bigger challenge than competition for resources because it is <b>more difficult to change people's mindsets once it has been</b>	

[8]

reasoning). It is even more difficult to change mindsets because negativ
feelings, once festered, can be passed on down to the next generatio
who also grow up with these prejudice and stereotypes. It would tak
decades for people's prejudice to be removed or changed. (Elaborate o
reasoning on first factor) On the other hand, competition for jo
opportunities is easier for the government to manage in a diverse society s
long as there are plans to limit the number of foreign labour coming into th
country. In fact, government has been revisiting the requirements for
foreign worker passes to ensure that employers consider hiring locals firs
When job opportunities are reserved for skilled Singaporeans, then loca
would not be so hostile towards foreign labour here. (Elaborate o
reasoning on second factor). As such, i think that prejudice is a bigge
challenge.