

4E5N PRELIMS 2022 PAPER 2

1(a)

| TYH Trading | | | |
|--|--------|---------|---|
| Statement of financial performance for the year ended 31 July 2022 | | | |
| | \$ | \$ | |
| Sales revenue | | 289 400 | |
| Less: sales returns | | 10 000 | |
| Net sales revenue | | 279 400 | 1 |
| Less: cost of sales | | 180 500 | |
| Gross profit | | 98 900 | 1 |
| Other income | | | |
| Commission income | 5 200 | | |
| Less: other expense | | | |
| Rent expense (12/16 X 19200) | 14 400 | | 1 |
| Salaries expense | 28 900 | | |
| Advertising expense (+700) | 4 700 | | 1 |
| Interest expense (5% X 20000) | 1 000 | | 1 |
| Depreciation of fixtures and fittings (60000-4000)/10 | 5 800 | | 1 |
| Depreciation of motor vehicles 10% X (90000-34000) | 5 600 | | 1 |
| Reversal of Impairment loss on trade receivables 395-(900-500) | (5) | | 1 |
| Impairment loss on inventory (8400-7000) | 1 400 | 61795 | 1 |
| Profit for the year | | 42305 | 1 |

1(b)

TYH Trading
Statement of financial position as at 31 July 2022

| Assets | Cost | Accumulated depreciation | Net book value | |
|---|--------|--------------------------|----------------|---|
| <u>Non-current assets</u> | \$ | \$ | \$ | |
| Fixtures and fittings | 60 000 | 17 400 | 42 600 | 1 |
| Motor vehicles | 90 000 | 39 600 | 50 400 | 1 |
| | | | 93 000 | |
| <u>Current assets</u> | | | | |
| Inventory | | 7 000 | | 1 |
| Trade receivables (-500) | 7 900 | | | 1 |
| Less: allowance for impairment of trade receivables | 395 | 7 505 | | 1 |
| Prepaid rent | | 4 800 | | 1 |
| Cash at bank | | 6 200 | 25 505 | |
| Total assets | | | 118 505 | |
| Equity and Liabilities | | | | |
| <u>Owner's equity</u> | | | | |
| Capital | | | 89 755 | 2 |
| 51950+42305-4500 | | | | |
| <u>Non-current liabilities</u> | | | | |
| Long term borrowings | | | 20 000 | |
| <u>Current liabilities</u> | | | | |
| Trade payables | | 7 850 | | |
| Advertising payable | | 700 | | 1 |
| Interest payable | | 200 | 8 750 | 1 |
| Total equity and liabilities | | | 118 505 | |

- 2(a)**
- i. Cost of sales = $4000 + 5000 + 5600 + 7\,500 = \$22\,100$ [1]
 - ii. Ending inventory = $6200 + 3000 = 9\,200$ [1]
 - iii. Gross profit = $50100 - 22100 = \$28\,000$ [1]
 - iv. Rate of inventory turnover = $22100 / 6600 = 3.35$ times [1]
 - v. Days sales in inventory = $(6600 / 22100) \times 365 = 109$ days [1]

- 2(b)** The rate of inventory turnover has worsened from 5.50 times in 2022 to 3.35 times in 2022. [1]

The days sales of inventory has worsened from 85.15 days in 2021 to 109 days in 2022. [1]

This means that the business is selling their goods at a slower rate in 2022. [1]

The business is becoming less efficient at managing their inventory over the years. [1]

- 2(c)** Reduce selling price of slow-moving goods [1]
Give trade discounts to encourage bulk purchases [1]

- 2(d)** The business is selling goods at a slower rate which could mean that they are generating less sales. [1] This would cause profitability to worsen. [1]

- 2(e)** Gross profit margin, profit margin, mark-up on cost, return on equity. [any 2]

- 3(a)** Motor vehicles

| Date | Particulars | Debit | Credit | Balance |
|-------|-------------------------------|--------|--------|------------|
| 2021 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Jul 1 | Balance b/d [1] | | | 120 000 Dr |
| 2022 | | | | |
| Mar 3 | Sale of non-current asset [1] | | 50 000 | |
| Apr 1 | Cash at bank [1] | 60 000 | | |
| Jul 1 | Balance b/d [1] | | | 130 000 Cr |

- 3(b)** Depreciation 30 June 2020 = $10\% \times 50\,000 = 5\,000$
 Depreciation 30 June 2021 = $10\% \times (50\,000 - 5\,000) = 4\,500$
 Accumulated depreciation = $5\,000 + 4\,500 = 9\,500$
- Net book value of NCA sold = $50\,000 - 9\,500 = 40\,500$ [1]
 Selling price of NCA = \$35 000
- Loss on sale = $40\,500 - 35\,000 = \$5\,500$ [1]
- 3(c)** Depreciation of new NCA = $10\% \times 60\,000 = 6\,000$ [1]
- Depreciation of remaining NCA = $10\% \times (70\,000 - 11\,750) = 5\,825$ [1]
- Depreciation 30 June 2022 = $6\,000 + 5\,825 = 11\,825$ [1]
- 3(d)** Wear and tear, obsolescence, legal limits, usage [any 2]
- 3(e)** Financial information is only material to the business if it affects decision making. [1]
 The cost of the carpets is not material compared to the average profit made for the past five years. [1]
 Hence, the carpets should be classified as revenue expenditure [1]
- 4(a)**
- The owner has absolute control over the business.
 - The owner keeps all the profits.
 - Minimal administrative duties to adhere to.
- [any 2]
- 4(b)**
- Banks are less likely to grant a loan due to a lack of personal assets as collateral. [1]
 - If the business incurs debts and losses, the owner is obliged to pay them using their personal assets. [1]

4(c)

| | |
|---------------|--|
| Decision | Puvana should buy the van. |
| Reason 1 | The cost to buy the van is \$450 000 cheaper than renting a van for 10 years. Cost to buy van = \$150 000 Cost to rent for 10 years = 5000 X 12 X 10 = \$600 000 |
| Explanation 1 | This would lower her expenses over the years as she does not need to incur rental expenses, and this would result in higher profit. |
| Reason 2 | The van is brand new. |
| Explanation 2 | A new van would be more efficient and the likelihood of the van breaking down and requiring frequent repairs would be lower. This would minimise the disruptions to the business's operations. |
| Reason 3 | Customisation of the van is allowed. |
| Explanation 3 | Puvana would be able to customise her van to suit her business's needs. This would make delivery of goods more efficient. |

| | |
|---------------|--|
| Decision | Puvana should rent the van. |
| Reason 1 | The upfront cost to rent a van is \$10 000 cheaper than buying a van. Cost to rent per month = \$ 5000 Down payment to buy = \$15000 |
| Explanation 1 | Puvana would have more cash available to pay for other operating expenses and to set up her business. |
| Reason 2 | Service and maintenance are included as part of rental contract. |
| Explanation 2 | Puvana would not have to incur additional cost to pay for servicing and maintenance which would lower her expenses. This would result in higher profit. |
| Reason 3 | The lease period of 1 year is shorter than owning the delivery van for 10 years. |
| Explanation 3 | Puvana could negotiate for better contract terms after one year and rent a different van to better suit her business's needs / if she is unsatisfied with the current van. |

| | |
|--|---|
| | Or Puvana may switch to a different rental company if she is unsatisfied with the services of the current rental company. |
|--|---|