

Sec 4E/5NA 2019 Social Studies Prelim 2 Answer Scheme

Study Source A.

- a. What is the message of the cartoon? Explain your answer. [5]

Level	Descriptors	Marks
L1	Describing the source/ provenance e.g. <i>Source A is a A cartoonist's depiction of how US President Donald Trump views immigrants.</i>	1m
L2	Invalid interpretation, unsupported/supported <i>Award 2m for 1 sub-message unsupported. 3m one main message unsupported.</i> e.g. <i>The message of the cartoon is that the Trump government sees immigrants as causing problems for America.</i>	2-3m
L3	Main message, support <i>Award 4 m for 1 sub message supported.</i> e.g. <i>The message of the cartoon is that Trump does not welcome immigrants to America. Source A shows US President Donald Trump commenting that the immigrants are 'A Horde of Honduran rapists, drug dealers, and terrorists is marching toward our border'. In the next picture, Trump is seen standing away from the immigrants. This suggests that Trump does see immigrants as being negative for the society and does not see America benefitting from the entry of such immigrants.</i>	4m
L4	Main message supported with verb and audience e.g. <i>The message of the cartoon is to convince Americans that Trump does not welcome immigrants to America. Source A shows US President Donald Trump commenting that the immigrants are 'A Horde of Honduran rapists, drug dealers, and terrorists is marching toward our border'. In the next pictures, Trump is seen standing away from the immigrants. This suggests that Trump does see immigrants as being negative for the society and does not see America benefitting from the entry of such immigrants. As such, America does not welcome such immigrants.</i>	5m

b. Study Sources B and C.
Do you think Source B agrees with Source C? Explain your answer.

[6m]

Level	Descriptors	Marks
L1	Similarity or Difference, based on Provenance/ Topic <u>Difference</u> <i>e.g. Source B does not agree with Source C as Source B is a written source but Source C is a cartoon.</i>	1m
L2	False Match/ No Match <i>e.g. Source B does not agree with Source C as Source B tells me that immigrants are welcome in USA but Source C does not.</i>	2m
L3	Similarity or difference in content unsupported, i.e. must be valid matches <i>Award 3m for Similarity or difference in content, unsupported.</i> <i>Award 4m for Similarity AND difference in content, unsupported.</i> <u>Similarity</u> <i>e.g. Source B agree with Source C that immigrants are able to benefit America/ that immigrants positively impact America</i> <u>Difference</u> <i>e.g. Source B disagrees with Source C that immigrants are not welcome in America.</i>	3-4 m
L4	Similarity or difference in content unsupported, i.e. must be valid matches <i>Award 4m for Similarity or difference in content, supported.</i> <i>Award 5m for Similarity AND difference in content, supported.</i> <u>Similarity</u> <i>e.g. Source B agree with Source C that immigrants are able to benefit America/ that immigrants positively impact America. Source B tells me that immigrants help to improve the economy. Source B states, "In recent years, a majority (62%) say immigrants strengthen the country because of their hard work and talents." This suggests that immigrants help in the betterment of America as immigrants are able to increase productivity due to their diligence. Not only that, immigrants are also able to contribute positively to America and further enhance the development of the country. Similarly, Source C tells me that immigrants increase the employment rate of the locals in America. Source C states, "Because Alamar is so productive, people in related jobs, like brickmakers, site supervisors and truckers, have more work to do." This suggests that with the immigrants in US, they are able to help increase the job opportunities and this helps to positively impact America.</i> <u>Difference</u> <i>e.g. Source B disagrees with Source C whether immigrants are welcome in America. Source B tells me that immigrants are welcome in America as there</i>	4-5 m

	<p>is an improvement in the level of acceptance for immigrants over the years. Source B states, "These attitudes have changed little in the past few years, but they are very different from a quarter-century ago. In 1994, attitudes were nearly the reverse of what they are today: 63% of Americans said immigrants burdened the country and 31% said they strengthened it." This suggests that as there is a positive change in the attitude of locals towards immigrants, which translates to them welcoming the immigrants. However, Source C tells me that immigrants are not welcomed in America as they compete with the locals for jobs. Source C states, "Go home, you job stealer." This suggests that due to the idea that immigrants take away the jobs of locals, immigrants are not welcomed in America.</p>	
L5	<p>Difference in purpose</p> <p>e.g. Source B does not agree with Source C in its purpose. The purpose of Source B is for the research agency (author) to convince (verb) the government (audience) that Americans do welcome immigrants to the country, as they are able to benefit from the entry of immigrants (message) This was during the time when immigrants were blamed for the negatives that was happening to the society. This is so that the government would accept the entry of immigrants and not ban immigrants from entering America. However, Source C's purpose is that the cartoonist (author) wants to persuade (verb) Americans (audience) to be more accepting towards the immigrants (intended outcome) as they do bring benefits to America (message). This was during the time when the immigrants were facing prejudices and tensions between the Americans and immigrants.</p>	6m

Study Source D.

c. How surprised are you by this source? Explain your answer.

[7]

L1	<p>Answers using source content but failing to address element of surprise.</p> <p><i>e.g. I am surprised by Source D as it is a protest by Americans in response to the government's ban on immigrants entering America.</i></p>	[1]
L2	<p>Identifies what is/is not surprising in Source D, but no valid explanation.</p> <p><i>e.g. I am surprised by Source D it is a protest by Americans and the support for immigrants.</i></p>	[2]
L3	<p>Surprised/Not Surprised based on content. Award 3 marks for one valid inference, unsupported Award 4 marks for one valid inference, supported</p> <p><i>e.g. I am surprised by Source D as it tells me that immigrants are welcomed in America. Source D shows that Americans are protesting against the government's ban on immigrants entering America. Some of the words on the placards are "I love my Muslim students", "Let them in". This suggests that immigrants are welcomed by Americans as they are voicing their disapproval for the government's ban on immigrants entering America. This is because I know of many in America who do not like immigrants to stay in America.</i></p>	[3-4]
L4	<p>Yes/ No based on valid cross-referencing to other sources or background information.</p> <p><i>e.g. e.g. I am surprised by Source D as it tells me that immigrants are welcomed in America. Source D shows that Americans are protesting against the government's ban on immigrants entering America. Some of the words on the placards are "I love my Muslim students", "Let them in". This suggests that immigrants are welcomed by Americans as they are voicing their disapproval for the government's ban on immigrants entering America. However, by cross-referencing to Source E, it tells me that immigrants are not welcomed in America despite them positively impacting on the economy in US. Source E states, "Despite the economic benefit that the Chinese immigrants bring, their presence in the US is often negatively received. Their behavior is often mocked and their prevalence in the college campuses is often treated like a plague rather than the financial boon it actually is. This suggests that immigrants are not welcomed in America.</i></p> <p><i>e.g. I am not surprised by Source D as it tells me that immigrants are welcomed in America. Source D shows that Americans are protesting against the government's ban on immigrants entering America. Some of the words on the placards are "I love my Muslim students", "Let them in". This suggests that immigrants are welcomed by Americans as they are voicing their disapproval for the government's ban on immigrants entering America.. This is supported by Source B that immigrants are welcomed in America</i></p>	[5-6]

	<p>as they benefit America. Source B states, "In recent years, a majority (62%) say immigrants strengthen the country because of their hard work and talents. Just 28% say immigrants are a burden on the country because they take jobs, housing and health care, according to a new survey by Pew Research Center." This suggests that immigrants are able to benefit America and thus are welcomed by Americans. Thus, I am not surprised by Source D.</p>	
L5	<p>L4 + Yes based on Provenance/ Purpose explained</p> <p>e.g. I am <u>not surprised</u> by Source D as it is an article by a US newspaper (author). The purpose is to convince (verb) the Americans (audience) that many in America do support immigrants coming to America (message) so that other Americans would learn to accept and support the coming of immigrants (intended outcome). This was during the time when there were tensions and prejudices against immigrants (context). Thus, as immigrants do support and impact American society positively, I am not surprised that the US newspaper would portray the support for immigrants.</p>	[7]

Study Sources E and F.

- d. How far does Source E prove that Source F is accurate? Explain your answer? Explain your answer. [7]

Level	Descriptors	Marks
L1	<p>Prove or does not prove, using the provenance / lifts from source/ use of content without answering the question.</p> <p><i>e.g. Source E does not prove that Source F is accurate as Source E is only from a personal opinion of an American Chinese so it is not reliable.</i></p>	1-2
L2	<p>State whether Source E is able to prove/does not prove based on content or typicality.</p> <p><i>e.g Source E proves that Source F is accurate as both tells me that the coming of immigrants actually beneficial for America. Source E tells me that immigrants impact US positively Source E states, "Despite the economic benefit that the Chinese immigrants bring, their presence in the US is often negatively received. Their behavior is often mocked and their prevalence in the college campuses is often treated like a plague rather than the financial boon it actually is". This suggests that immigrants are a positive impact on the economy. Similarly, Source F tells me that immigrants help to revitalize the economy. Source F states, "Far from being a drain on an economy, immigrants are actually an engine that helps drive innovation and growth". This suggests that the existence of immigrants in USA actually allows the American society to progress.</i></p>	2-3
L3	<p>Check reliability of Source E using other sources/ contextual knowledge/ background information</p> <p><i>Source E proves that Source F is accurate. Source E tells me that immigrants impact US positively Source E states, "Despite the economic benefit that the Chinese immigrants bring, their presence in the US is often negatively received. Their behavior is often mocked and their prevalence in the college campuses is often treated like a plague rather than the financial boon it actually is". This suggests that immigrants are a positive impact on the economy. This is supported by Source B. Source B tells me that immigrants are able to positively contribute to USA due to their hard work. Source B states, "In recent years, a majority (62%) say immigrants strengthen the country because of their hard work and talents." This suggests that immigrants to America are able to help America improve economically as they have positive traits. Thus, as Source B supports Source E, Source E proves that Source F is accurate.</i></p>	4-5
L3	<p>Evaluate the source using provenance/ purpose / audience</p> <p>Whether a source is able to prove would be determined by the purpose. If the source has a ulterior motive, it would not allow the source to be reliable and thus not able to prove whether another source is accurate.</p>	6-7

	<p>Source E is published by Hong Kong newspaper (author). Its purpose would be to convince (verb) the Chinese (audience) that American does not welcome immigrants (message), especially during the period whereby Americans blame immigrants for things like job competition (context). This is so that Americans would think twice about migrating to America, and instead stay in their hometown (intended outcome) . Thus, as Source E has an ulterior motive, Source E cannot prove that Source F is accurate.</p>	
--	---	--

- (e) “Immigrants are welcomed in USA.”

Using sources in this case study, explain how far you would agree with this statement

Level	Descriptors	Marks
L1	<p>Writes about the statement, no valid source use</p> <p><i>E.g. Immigrants have brought benefits to USA like improve its economy.</i></p>	1m
L2	<p>Yes / No, supported by valid source use</p> <p>Sources B, D and F agree that immigrants are welcomed in USA.</p> <p><i>Source B tells me that immigrants are welcomed in USA as they contribute to the economy. Source B states that, “In recent years, a majority (62%) say immigrants strengthen the country because of their hard work and talents.” This suggests that the immigrants help to improve the economy as they are able to contribute to the productivity and level of skills in USA. Therefore, as immigrants are contributing positively to the economy, they are welcomed in USA.</i></p> <p><i>Source D tells me that immigrants are welcomed in USA as there is support for them to be in USA. Source C shows that Americans are protesting against the ban on immigration to USA. This suggests that Americans see the value and benefit of immigrants, which is why they are protesting against the immigration ban, and thus welcoming immigrants into America.</i></p> <p><i>Source F tells me that immigrants are welcomed in USA as they help to sustain America economically. Source F states, “Far from being a drain on an economy, immigrants are actually an engine that helps drive innovation and growth”. This suggests that immigrants are actually welcomed in the US as they do benefit the locals economically as they are able to sustain economic growth and develop further.</i></p> <p>However, Sources A, C, D and E disagree that immigrants are welcomed in USA.</p> <p><i>Source A tells me that immigrants are not welcomed in USA as they do not benefit them but rather create more problems for America. Source A shows that US President Donald Trump saying, ‘A Horde of Honduran Rapists, Drug dealers and Terrorists is marching toward our border’.” This suggests that with the coming of the immigrants, they may add or worsen the problems in America and likely to cause havoc and disturbances in the country. Thus, with the negative view of immigrants, they are not welcomed in America.</i></p> <p><i>Source C tells me that immigrants are not welcomed in USA as they are competing for jobs with the locals. Source C shows a local scolding the immigrant with the words, “Go Home, you job stealer”. This suggests that despite the contributions that immigrants bring, locals are threatened by</i></p>	2-4m

	<p><i>their existence as immigrants are viewed with good qualities and help to boost the economy. As such, as immigrants are a threat to their livelihood, they are not welcomed in America.</i></p> <p><i>Source D tells me that immigrants are not welcomed in USA as there is a restriction placed on them. Source D shows that Americans are protesting against the ban on immigration to USA. This suggests that the American government has made it a policy of limiting the number of immigrants entering America as they see them as problematic, and thus not welcoming immigrants into America.</i></p> <p><i>Source E tells me that immigrants are not welcomed in America as they are not wanted socially. Source E states that, "The images of acceptance and hope that compelled so many to come to the US are being replaced by "get out" signs. Despite the economic benefit that the Chinese immigrants bring, their presence in the US is often negatively received. Their behavior is often mocked and their prevalence in the college campuses is often treated like a plague rather than the financial boon it actually is". This suggests that immigrants are not welcomed in US as they are not able to fit into the American culture comfortably and their existence are being frowned upon despite them creating a positive impact on their country. Thus, with the negative connotations that the immigrants bring, they are not welcomed in America.</i></p>	
L3	<p>Yes / No, supported by valid source use i.e. Both elements of L2.</p> <p>Note: Consideration on number of sources used and the quality of analysis in deciding on marks in L2 and L3.</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Further analysis</p> <p>Award only when students analyse based on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Analyse at least one source in relation to its reliability, utility or sufficiency <i>e.g. Source A is a research conducted by a US research agency. This source is reliable as this being a research agency, it would have collected data from many sources before being able to make such conclusions. Also, since this is a research agency which is based in US but not linked to a government agency, this rules out the possibility that this organization could have an ulterior motive for doctoring the data. Thus, since Source A is reliable, we can trust the source when it tells that immigrants are welcomed in America.</i> • Sharing example(s) from their contextual knowledge <i>e.g I would agree that immigrants are not welcomed in America. This is because the US President Donald Trump, during his Presidential campaign, advocated that they would place restrictions on the type</i> 	<p>5-8m</p> <p>9-10m</p>

	<p><i>of immigrants allowed into USA. Also, by venture of the fact that Donald Trump won the Presidential election, this would signify the idea that majority of Americans do reject the idea of having immigrants or having too many immigrants in America as they may compete with them in terms of job opportunities, housing, education and cause a jam on the roads.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Giving a balanced conclusion / resolution 	
--	--	--

Section B

(a) Extract 1 shows the lack of graciousness Singaporeans display.

In your opinion, how do you think the government can encourage Singaporeans to be more gracious? Explain your answer using **two** strategies.

L1	Describes the topic i.e. Describe on graciousness in Singapore.	[1]
L2	Identifies/ Describes Award 2 marks for identifying ONE way and 3 marks for identifying TWO ways. Award 3 marks for describing ONE way and 4 marks for describing TWO ways. <i>E.g. The government could encourage Singaporeans to be more gracious by promoting the idea of caring for others through print media at public spaces. Print media could be posters, banners advocating the idea of graciousness towards others and how it creates a better living environment for Singaporeans. An example of this could be the posters that are put up in various forms of transportation like the buses and mrt. Such posters could state the different groups of passengers who could be more in need of the seats than others.</i> <i>Another way the government could encourage Singaporeans to be more gracious is to invite celebrities to endorse the idea of graciousness. The Singapore government could initiate the start of a "Be Gracious" campaign. Celebrities could be invited to be the ambassadors of this campaign and be role models for such behavior. Celebrities could then grace such campaigns and speak to Singaporeans about the need to be kind and considerate to others around them.</i>	[2-4]
L3	L2 + Explains Award 5-6 marks for explaining one way. Award 6-7 marks for explaining two ways. <i>e.g. The government could encourage Singaporeans to be more gracious by promoting the idea of caring for others through print media at public spaces. Print media could be posters, banners advocating the idea of graciousness towards others and how it creates a better living environment for Singaporeans. An example of this could be the posters that are put up in various forms of transportation like the buses and mrt. Such posters could state the different groups of passengers who could be more in need of the seats than others.</i> <i>When passengers sees such posters, they are reminded of the need to be gracious and look out for others who may need the seats. As such, they will be encouraged to be more aware of their surroundings and practice the idea of care and consideration for others. Thus, this helps Singaporeans to be more gracious</i> OR/AND	[5-7]

	<p>Another way the government could encourage Singaporeans to be more gracious is to invite celebrities to endorse the idea of graciousness. The Singapore government could initiate the start of a "Be Gracious" campaign. Celebrities could be invited to be the ambassadors of this campaign and be role models for such behavior. Celebrities could then grace such campaigns and speak to Singaporeans about the need to be kind and considerate to others around them. When Singaporeans who like these celebrities promote such acts and are role models themselves, it would encourage them to follow suit and thus, more Singaporeans would be encouraged to be gracious.</p>	
--	---	--

- (a) Extracts 2 and 3 reflect on the principle of meritocracy and having a good leadership to ensure the development of Singapore.

Do you think that the principle of meritocracy or good leadership should be more important in ensuring the development of Singapore? Explain your answer. [8]

L1	<p>Writes about the topic i.e. <u>development of Singapore</u> but without addressing the question.</p> <p><i>e.g. The development of Singapore is important so that the country can continue. With this, the principle of meritocracy and good leadership are very important.</i></p>	[1-2]
L2	<p>Describe principle of meritocracy and good leadership.</p> <p>Award 3 marks for principle of meritocracy or leadership. Award 4 marks for describing principle of meritocracy AND good leadership.</p> <p><i>e.g. The principle of meritocracy is important in ensuring the development of Singapore. Principle of meritocracy refers to a system that distributes opportunities to enable future successes. People are rewarded according to the effort they put in rather than factors such as their race, religion or socio-economic background. When people are rewarded based on their abilities and effort, they are encouraged to work hard.</i></p> <p><i>e.g. Good leadership is also important in ensuring the development of Singapore. Leaders of a nation should have integrity to do what is right even when the decisions are not popular with the citizens. If leaders are dishonest, they will make decisions based on personal interests rather than for the good of the country. When they have the moral courage to make good decisions, they can win the respect and confidence of the citizens. For example, the government decided to build the Integrated Resort (IR) after much consideration. This was an unpopular decision with many citizens at that time due to fears of social vices like gambling, but it was a practical decision made by the government after considering the economic benefits that come with it.</i></p>	[3-4]

L3	<p>Explains how principle of meritocracy AND good leadership helps in the development of Singapore.</p> <p>Award 5-6 marks for either protective or preventive measure. Higher mark for relevant example. Award 6-7 marks for explaining protective AND preventive measure. Higher mark for relevant example.</p> <p><i>e.g. The principle of meritocracy refers to a system that distributes opportunities to enable future successes. People are rewarded according to the effort they put in rather than factors such as their race, religion or socio-economic background. When people are rewarded based on their abilities and effort, they are encouraged to work hard. For example, students who perform well in their studies and CCAs are rewarded in ways to help them excel further in their education. The Edusave Scholarship and Merit Bursary schemes reward the top 10 per cent and 25 per cent of school students respectively. When the principle of meritocracy is practised, people will feel valued and will want to contribute more to the country which will in turn increase the productivity and result in the development of Singapore.</i></p> <p><i>e.g. Good leadership is also important in ensuring the development of Singapore. Leaders of a nation should have integrity to do what is right even when the decisions are not popular with the citizens. If leaders are dishonest, they will make decisions based on personal interests rather than for the good of the country. When they have the moral courage to make good decisions, they can win the respect and confidence of the citizens. For example, the government decided to build the Integrated Resort (IR) after much consideration. This was an unpopular decision with many citizens at that time due to fears of social vices like gambling, but it was a practical decision made by the government after considering the economic benefits that come with it. A more prosperous economy will lead to stability in the country when the citizens have confidence and respect for the leaders of the nation. When there is confidence and respect for the leaders, they will be motivated to work hard and contribute to the country. Thus, this ensures development of Singapore.</i></p>	[5-7]
L4	<p>Both aspects in L3 plus Explains the relative seriousness of each group.</p> <p><i>e.g. Both the principle of meritocracy and good leadership are important for the development of the country. Having good leadership is more important than meritocracy in ensuring the development of the country. Good leaders will have the far-sightedness and vision of leading the country successfully by making good decisions though not popular and implementing policies that will contribute to the development of the country. The principle of meritocracy would not have been possible. With capable leaders who realise the need for everyone to be given the chance to move up the social ladder, such a policy would not have been implemented. Hence, having good leadership is thus more important than the principle of meritocracy in ensuring the development of Singapore.</i></p>	[8]

