### 4E5N Prelim Section A Suggested Answer (Bartley Secondary School)

1 Study Source A.

Why did President Xi make this speech? Explain your answer. [6]

Suggested Answer		Success Criteria
Take note: Good answers should link to concepts of fostering social		
cohesion and common identity <b>OR</b> increasing social stability as a		
safeguard against extremist ideology as mentioned in BI.		
President Xi made the speech to convince government officials of the importance of building common identity amongst the diverse ethnic	•	Powerful Verb
groups to safeguard social stability against extremist ideas, so that the government officials will work with all ethnic groups for the mutual benefit of the Chinese nation, and each ethnic group. He made this speech in	•	Audience
August 2021, one month before the removal of traditional language in schools, over which ethnic Mongolians had staged a protest the year	•	Message
before. President Xi said "Fostering a strong sense of community for the	•	Feeling
Chinese nation is a critical element of safeguarding the fundamental	•	Action
interests of all ethnic groups. Only when all ethnic groups jointly safeguard national security and social stability can extremist and separatist thoughts	•	Situation
be resisted and the aspirations of the people of all ethnic groups for a	•	Evidence
better life be fulfilled." This implies that the ethnic groups must feel like they		1 best line
have a common stake in the peace and stability of the county as a whole, which will also benefit them, so the officials must work with them to build that trust.	•	Explanation

2 Study Source B and C.

Would the columnist (in Source C) agree with the published report (in [6] Source B)? Explain your answer.

Suggested Answer		Success Criteria
Note:		
- Answers using similar / different $ ightarrow$ 0		
- When disagreeing / refuting, always use opposite meaning words		
The columnist would agree with the published report [A] on the fact that	•	ATQ
Tibetan children are learning Mandarin Chinese in schools [CC]. This is		
evident in Source B where it says " equally key is the universalisation of	•	Common Criteria
Mandarin Chinese" [Ev] which implies that the Mandarin language is learn		
throughout China regardless of your ethnic background, which would	•	Comparison word

MARK SCHEME	
include Tibetan children [Ex]. Likewise [CW], Source C says that "the realistic motivation for Tibetan children to learn Mandarin is great because it is the common language in China and the largest language carrier for	• Evidence x2 1 best line
the functioning of Chinese society" [Ev] which implies that many Tibetan children would learn Mandarin because of the benefits of being able to use Mandarin while living in China [Ex].	• Explanation x2
The columnist would disagree with the published report [A] on the impact of learning Mandarin on the preservation of Tibetan language and identity [CC]. This is evident in Source B where it says "many struggle to communicate in their native tongue" [Ev] which implies that learning Mandarin instead means they stop learning their traditional Tibetan language leading them to lose their ability to communicate in it fluently [Ex]. However [CW], Source C says that "leaning Mandarin does not mean giving up learning Tiberan" [Ev] which implies that the learning of Mandarin Chinese can go hand in hand with learning their traditional language in a	<ul> <li>ATQ</li> <li>Common Criteria</li> <li>Comparison word</li> <li>Evidence <ul> <li>best line</li> </ul> </li> <li>Explanation</li> </ul>
complementary way [Ex].  Using the position and context of creators of the sources. (L5/6)	ATQ
The columnist in Source C would never agree with report in Source B because the columnist writes for the official newspaper of the Chinese Communist Party, which would always defend the party's policy as the government of China when criticised by a foreign publication. Even if there might be elements of truth in Source B's criticism of the Chinese government, the TIME magazine article's one-sided and biased view paints the situation as one that is forced on Tibetans by the Chinese government. As such, as an employee of the Chinese government's newspaper, the columnist is obligated to correct [P] the wrong characterisation that the Tibetans themselves are wholly against the learning of Mandarin Chinese [M], so that local Chinese, or international readers [A] will reconsider their perspective on the issue [F], and be more willing to understand the Chinese government's rationale for the policy [A]. The columnist published this article in 2023 after the implementation of the policy to stop teaching local languages in schools, while Source B was written before it, in July 2021. It is more likely that the policy does achieve some beneficial outcomes, rather than be as terrible as the biased characterisation that TIME magazine made in 2021, so the columnist must correct the unfair characterisation of the Chinese government's policy.	PAMFASEE of C  Explanation of why columnist will not agree with report of B, taking into consideration: -What is said -When it is said -Who is he addressing

### 3 Study Source D.

How useful is Source D in helping you understand people's attitudes [6] towards China's ethnic policy? Explain your answer.

Suggested Answer	Success Criteria
Note:	

MARK SCHEME		
-Always begin with USEFUL		
-Infer state of social mixing		
-Successful Cross referencing (CR) requires reliability therefore useful /		
unreliability therefore less useful.		
Source D is useful in helping us understand people's attitudes towards	•	ATQ + LOR
China's ethnic policy [A] as it is reliable as it is supported by Source E [LOR].		
Both [CW] suggest that China's ethnic policy is more assimilative than	•	Common Criteria
integrative because they adopt the approach of sinicization (CC). This	•	Comparison word
can be seen from Source D which shows President Xi painting each ethnic individual with the red paint of Sinicization [Ev], which implies that their		
ethnic identity are now covered over by the red colour of Han Chinese	•	Evidence x2
ethnicity [Ex]. Similarly, [CW] Source E says "China tries to speed up the		1 best line
process of cultural assimilation by increasing the number of Han people"		Explanation x2
[Ev] which implies that the Chinese government attempts to sinicise	•	Explanation x2
Xinjiang by increasing the proportion of Han Chinese so that their cultures		
and practices will become the dominant culture of the region, relegating tradition Uyghur culture as a minority cultural practice in the traditionally		
Uyghur dominant region [Ex].		
Source D is less useful in helping us understand people's attitudes towards	•	ATQ + LOR
China's ethnic policy [A] as it is unreliable as it is refuted by Source F [LOR].		0 0 11 1
Source D suggests that China's ethnic policy is more assimilative than	•	Common Criteria
integrative because they adopt the approach of sinicization (CC), but Source A suggests that China's ethnic policy is more integrative than	•	Comparison word
assimilative. This can be seen from Source D which shows President Xi		
painting each ethnic individual with the red paint of Sinicization [Ev],	•	Evidence
which implies that their ethnic identity are now covered over by the red		1 best line
colour of Han Chinese ethnicity [Ex]. However [CW], Source A says	•	Explanation
"Neither Han chauvinism nor local ethnic chauvinism is conducive to the		
development of a community for the Chinese nation" [Ev] which implies that the Chinese government's approach is not to destroy or suppress		
local ethnic culture while imposing the dominant Han Chinese culture, but		
to integrate both together in building a Chinese national community [Ex].		
Possible highest levels have to include the holistic use of tone (L5/6)	•	ATQ + LOR
Less useful: Use of Tone – need to recognize Whose view + Tone => What	•	Whose Perspective?
these imply for reliability, and hence usefulness.		THE CONTRACT OF CONTRACT
D is less useful [A] as it is biased because of its negative tone [LOR]. The	•	What tone?
source was published by Radio Free Asia, which - although a private	•	Explanation of how
media company - was <b>funded by the US government</b> , on the anniversary	•	_
of the founding of the People's Republic of China. The portrayal of China's		tone+perspective
ethnic policy, a highly complex and challenging social policy in a country as big and diverse and China, was reduced to just the characterisation of		makes it more/less
President Xi painting everyone into the same Han Chinese ethnic identity		useful.
[Exp of tone/bias]. Because the US government has an ongoing rivalry with		
China, it will help win them greater support if they can paint the Chinese		

government in a negative light [Exp of perspective], so it is to be expected		
for a US government funded media company publishing a cartoon about		
China on the anniversary of their founding to make it a critical one. This		
makes it less reliable, and hence less useful.		

**4.** Study Sources E and F. Having read Source E, are you surprised by Source F? Explain your answer.

[7]

Suggested Answer		Success Criteria
Note:		
-Para 1:Must use both sources given → compare E & F		
-Para 2: Should move on to higher level skills like CR of main source /		
Explained provenance		
Comparison of E and F	•	ATQ + LOR
Having read E, I am not surprised by F [A] as E supports F making F $\perp$		C
expected [LOR]. Both suggest that ethnic relations were better in the past,	•	Common Criteria
but have become more tense in the present [CC]. Source E says "the Chinese population policy leads to increased ethnic conflict in Xinjiang"	•	Comparison word
[Ev] implying that ethnic relation has only worsened after the	•	Evidence x2
implementation of China's population policy. Similarly [CW] F says "I	•	1 best line
would say that ethnic relationships in Xinjiang are worse of and the		i besi iine
situation is very tense now." [Ev] implying that ethnic relations were better in the past, but that it is no longer the case [Ex].	•	Explanation x2
in the past, but that it is no longer the case [LX].		
Cross referencing of main source.	•	ATQ + LOR
Having read E, I am surprised by F [A] because F is refuted by A making it		
unexpected [LOR]. Both sources disagree on whether China's ethnic	•	Common Criteria
policy would lead to greater tensions between ethnic groups [CC]. F says - "I would say that ethnic relationships in Xinjiang are worse of and the	•	Comparison word
situation is very tense now." [Ev] implying that ethnic relations were better		F 11
in the past, but that it is no longer the case [Ex]. However [CW], Source A	•	Evidence
suggests that the Chinese government's approach does not emphasise		1 best line
the superiority of any ethnic group over another, by saying that "neither		Explanation
Han chauvinism nor local ethnic chauvinism is conducive to the		
development of a community for the Chinese nation" [Ev], which implies that the Chinese government wants to take an approach that unites the		
different ethnic groups, rather than see the different ethnic groups as		
competitive, vying for superiority over others.		
Possible highest levels have to include the holistic use of provenance,		ATQ + LOR
context and content (L5/7)		Dataile france
Highest levels E explains the equal of the situation that E describes		Details from
Highest level: E explains the cause of the situation that F describes		provenance

Having read E, I am not surprised by F [E] because E explains the cause of	D1, D2, D3
the situation that is described in F [LOR]. Source E is a Uyghur criticising the	Explanation of how
Chinese government's ethnic policies in Xinjiang on a pro-Uyghur (and	
therefore anti-government) website [D1]. Such a website, would not	it makes the source
merely report on the events of the day, but attempt to identify what the	reliable and hence
cause of the issues are, so that the situation can be rectified and lead to	useful
the improvement of life for Uyghurs [Ex]. Source F is also written by a	F 1 F 0 F 2
Uyghur [D2], but he was responding only to the question of what it was like	Exp 1, Exp 2, Exp 3
to live in Xinjiang [D3] on a forum where anyone can ask a question that	What view is
anyone with the relevant information can respond to. The question that	represented
the author of Source F responded to <b>only required a description of what</b>	·
life was like in Xinjiang, and not to comment on what caused the situation.	
Hence, it is not unexpected that the situation described in F mirrors the	
worsening of ethnic relations described in E, which is explained in E to be	
caused by China's ethnic policies in Xinjiang.	

5. 'It is necessary for China to adopt assimilation for its ethnic policy.'
Using the sources in this case study, explain how far you would agree with this statement.

[10]

Suggested Answer		Success Criteria
I agree (A) as A suggests that it is necessary. (A) This can be seen from, "only when all ethnic groups jointly safeguard national security and social	•	ATQ
stability can extremist and separatist thoughts be resisted and the	•	Best evidence
aspirations of the people of all ethnic groups for a better life be fulfilled."		1 line
(B) By doing so, all ethnic groups in China would recognise that they have	•	Sequence of
a common identity as Chinese nationals that complement their respective ethnic identities   reduce likelihood of ethnic tensions, and ensure greater		outcomes beyond
stability \(\sigma\) increases benefits that everyone can get by working for the		source
common good   promoting improved economic well-being for everyone		(S1→ S2→S3)
(S) and hence adoption of an assimilative ethnic policy is necessary. (L)		(01 / 02 / 00)
I disagree (A) as B suggests that it is not just unnecessary, but harmful. This	•	ATQ
can be seen from "Tens of thousands of Tibetan children have also been	•	Best evidence
sent away to residential schools where they are paired with Han teachers.  On rare occasions, they can see their families, typically two weeks each	•	1 line
year." (B) As a result, Tibetan children are not as close to the parents and		_
family as they should be $\square$ might distrust the views or intentions of their	•	Sequence of
parents when they disagree on what Tibetans should value $\square$ lead to		outcomes beyond
dilution of Tibetan values and culture (S) $\square$ making it unnecessary to adopt		source
an assimilative approach to ethnic policies. (L)		<b>(\$1→ \$2→\$3)</b>
I agree (A) as C suggests that it is necessary. This can be seen from "The	•	ATQ
local people also want to integrate the modernisation of their lives with	•	Best evidence
the preservation of their tradition." (B) When local ethnic groups are more assimilated, they can use Mandarin Chinese in their business dealings or	•	1 line
job applications  have better access to goods, services, cultural		
products and job prospects shared by the Han Chinese majority $\square$ this	•	Sequence of
leads to a better quality of life (S) $\square$ making it necessary to adopt an		outcomes beyond
assimilative approach to ethnic policies. (L)		source
		(S1→ S2→S3)
I disagree (A) as D suggests that it is not wanted by the various ethnic communities, and is therefore, unnecessary. This can be seen from the	•	ATQ
expressions of the various ethnic groups looking annoyed, angered, and fearful having their respective ethnic identities painted over by the paint of sinicization. (B) When assimilation is implemented, local ethnic communities begin to use the dominant ethnic groups language and adopt their lifestyles (S) $\rightarrow$ subsequent generations will speak less of their traditional language and see less of a need to maintain traditional cultural	•	Best evidence
		1 line
	•	Sequence of
		outcomes beyond
		source

MARK SCHEME	
practises and beliefs (S) $ ightarrow$ could lead to dilution of ethnic cultures,	(S1→ S2→S3)
homogenising the inherent diversity of the various ethnic communities in	
China (S) $\rightarrow$ making assimilation unnecessary.	
Possible bonus must be tagged to specific sources	
Note: every bonus attempt <b>must be linked to a source</b> , and not writing	
about social mixing in general. Two possible approaches:	
- Perspective (credibility) of Sources	
I disagree because of the credibility of the sources that defend China's	
ethnic policies. The sources that offer the most credible defence of	
China's ethnic policies are China government sources, which are sources	
A and C. However, as government-sources (Source A is a speech by	
President Xi Jinping and Source C is by a columnist for a the official	
newspaper for the Chinese Communist Party. It is to be expected that they	
will be mutually supportive of the benefits of China's ethnic policy.	
Furthermore, it can be noted that their defence is based on possible	
benefits rather than a description of the situation in the affected region in	
terms of how lives are affected and whether statistics can be offered to	
disprove criticisms of China's policy, which being government-linked, you	
can expect them to have access to. President Xi refers to generalisations	
and platitudes such as "neither Han chauvinism, nor local ethnic	
chauvinism is conducive to the development of a community for the Chinese nation". In contrast, even though Source E has a bias in being anti	
Chinese-government, the situation blamed on China's ethnic policies is	
corroborated in Source F where the Uyghur author was specifically not	
attributing blame to Chinese ethnic policies but describes a situation of	
tension that is in all likelihood, brought about by Chinese ethnic policies.	
, , ,	
- Balanced Conclusion/ resolution	
Both positions are equally plausible. It is possible that the implementation	
of the Chinese ethnic policies led to many challenges that the Chinese	
government needed time to overcome. Xi Jinping conceded in his	
speech in August 2021, before the termination of traditional language	
teaching in schools, that the Chinese government needed to "improve in	
modernising our governance and the capacity of our officials on ethnic	
affairs" In other words, the sources critical of China's integration policies	
may have the basis of their criticisms in poor implementation of the policy	
due to insufficient modernisation of China's governance and	

### Section B

**6** Extract 1 states that Singapore's fertility rate has hit a new low.

improvement of their officials' capacity in managing ethnic affairs, rather

than an inherent problem with China's ethnic policy itself.

In your opinion, how will this development impact Singapore? Explain your answer with reference to **two** impacts.

[7]

### 3 Explains ONE OR TWO TIPS

5 - 7

Award 5 – 6 marks for 1 IMPACT explained Award 6 – 7 marks for 2 IMPACT explained

Note: Accept feasible, logical IMPACT

1 impact is the smaller manpower pool Singapore can draw from for defence through National Service [D]. Because National Service is compulsory for Singaporeans born in Singapore, a smaller birth rate means that with fewer babies, the pool of individuals who serve national service will become smaller. This means that the armed forces that operates by having so many soldiers filling so many positions, will over the years have fewer and fewer soldiers to do so [E]. When this happens, the SAF will find it difficult to fill all the positions needed to maintain all the units at the same level of manpower [O1]. This places additional stresses on the soldiers who have to cover for the duties of positions that are not actually filled [O2]. This may lead to higher stress levels for soldiers in training, or reduce safety standards because the soldiers are tired [O3], which may lead to more training accidents [O4]. The SAF may be forced to reduce their number of units because of the issue of low fertility, which may compromise the SAF's ability to fulfill its mission [L].

Another impact is the need to bring in more foreigners to take up jobs that employers find very difficult to find Singaporeans to fill [D]. With a low fertility rate, subsequent batches of Singaporeans will be smaller, so if job availability continues to remain unchanged, there will be fewer people joining the workforce [E]. When this happens, the Singapore government may need in a number of years' time, to review the foreign employment policies to allow more foreigners to take up some of these jobs [O1]. This would allow the unfilled positions to be filled by foreigners willing to come to take up such jobs [O2]. Depending on where the immigrants come from, this may result in even further diversity of nationalities in Singapore [O3]. Hence, low fertility rates can lead to changes in our social composition in Singapore.

#### 7 Extracts 2 and 3 are about how governments work for the good of society.

Do you think making laws in a representative democracy is more crucial than providing goods and services in working for the good of society? Explain your answer.

[8]

#### 3 Explains factors

Award 5 – 6 marks for explaining one factor Award 6 – 7 marks for explaining second factor

Making laws in a representative democracy is important in working for the good of society. This is because it ensures that all the laws passed will not discriminate any particular minority group [D]. For example, Singapore's representative democracy comprises of three branches of government with the Legislative Branch tasked in making laws. Members of parliament will debate on a proposed bill before several MPs in a Select Committee examine the proposal. They may propose amendments before Parliament finally passes the bill. The Presidential Council for Minority Rights then determine if there is a differentiating measure that affects any race or religious minority before the President finally gives approval. An example is the Terrorism (Suppression of Finance) Bill in which a law was passed to prevent terrorists from accessing their finances to fund terrorist attacks [E]. When elected members of parliament and the Presidential Council for Minority Rights scrutinise a bill at various stages before it is passed as a law, this ensures that the the different perspectives of the diverse population in Singapore is taken into account [O1], which ensures that the interests of the majority of Singaporean are protected [O2] so that law will likely benefit more Singaporeans as a result [O3], contributing to the good of society.

Governments also have to provide goods and services to ensure the well-being of their citizens [D]. An essential provision by the government is affordable public housing. In recent years, Singaporeans have been concerned about the high prices of public housing which may make public housing unaffordable for younger generations of Singaporeans. In response to this, the HDB recently ramped up the number of BTO projects to increase the supply of public housing to keep prices affordable. In addition, other measures like increasing the minimum occupation period for Prime Location Housing was increased from five years to ten years, so that it is more likely that applicants for such apartments are genuinely looking to live in these apartments rather than seeking to profit from them after five years to buy private apartments [E]. When governments ensure the provision of essential goods and services (such as public housing) to Singaporeans, this ensures that their basic needs are taken care of [O1], which means Singaporeans are less likely to have to worry about essentials like housing and healthcare [O2], which allows them to pursue their interests and careers as they build their families [O3], leading to a better quality of life for most Singaporeans [O4], which is for the good of society.

**DEOL** 

Eg needs to

specific

Name + 2

details

#### 4 L3 – 2 factors explained + Weighs factors

8

### Accept any conclusions that are valid.

I agree that law making in a representative democracy is more crucial (ATQ, taking stand) in working for the good of society because it provides more fundamental protections and ensures the government acts in the interests on behalf of the broadest spectrum of Singaporeans, even constraining the government in the manner they implement policies and provide goods and services (Criteria).

For example, the government is not permitted to benefit some Singaporeans at the expense of others in their provision of goods and services (whether it be public housing, education or healthcare) in a manner that the laws of Singapore prohibit. If one day a communal political party takes power in Singapore and wants to give favourable treatment to some racial or religious group at the expense of other such groups in terms of access to public housing, the laws will not allow such a government to do so.

Hence, law making in a representative democracy is more crucial because it established the fundamental rules by which the government runs the country (including provision of goods and services) in the interest of all Singaporeans.